

HOUSE No. 1399

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

PRESENTED BY:

Natalie M. Blais

To the Honorable Senate and House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in General Court assembled:

The undersigned legislators and/or citizens respectfully petition for the adoption of the accompanying bill:

An Act relative to controlling and abusive litigation.

PETITION OF:

NAME:	DISTRICT/ADDRESS:	DATE ADDED:
<i>Natalie M. Blais</i>	<i>1st Franklin</i>	<i>1/19/2023</i>
<i>Mindy Domb</i>	<i>3rd Hampshire</i>	<i>1/22/2023</i>
<i>Tram T. Nguyen</i>	<i>18th Essex</i>	<i>1/25/2023</i>
<i>Susannah M. Whipps</i>	<i>2nd Franklin</i>	<i>1/25/2023</i>
<i>Lindsay N. Sabadosa</i>	<i>1st Hampshire</i>	<i>1/26/2023</i>
<i>Joanne M. Comerford</i>	<i>Hampshire, Franklin and Worcester</i>	<i>1/30/2023</i>
<i>Vanna Howard</i>	<i>17th Middlesex</i>	<i>2/1/2023</i>
<i>Christine P. Barber</i>	<i>34th Middlesex</i>	<i>2/3/2023</i>
<i>Mary S. Keefe</i>	<i>15th Worcester</i>	<i>2/6/2023</i>
<i>James K. Hawkins</i>	<i>2nd Bristol</i>	<i>2/7/2023</i>
<i>Michelle M. DuBois</i>	<i>10th Plymouth</i>	<i>2/7/2023</i>
<i>Walter F. Timilty</i>	<i>Norfolk, Plymouth and Bristol</i>	<i>2/8/2023</i>
<i>Kay Khan</i>	<i>11th Middlesex</i>	<i>2/9/2023</i>
<i>Patrick M. O'Connor</i>	<i>First Plymouth and Norfolk</i>	<i>2/9/2023</i>
<i>Jason M. Lewis</i>	<i>Fifth Middlesex</i>	<i>2/22/2023</i>
<i>Kate Lipper-Garabedian</i>	<i>32nd Middlesex</i>	<i>2/22/2023</i>
<i>Paul R. Feeney</i>	<i>Bristol and Norfolk</i>	<i>3/2/2023</i>
<i>Natalie M. Higgins</i>	<i>4th Worcester</i>	<i>3/14/2023</i>

<i>Adrienne Pusateri Ramos</i>	<i>14th Essex</i>	<i>3/15/2023</i>
<i>Thomas M. Stanley</i>	<i>9th Middlesex</i>	<i>3/16/2023</i>
<i>Tommy Vitolo</i>	<i>15th Norfolk</i>	<i>3/20/2023</i>
<i>Susan L. Moran</i>	<i>Plymouth and Barnstable</i>	<i>3/29/2023</i>
<i>Patricia A. Duffy</i>	<i>5th Hampden</i>	<i>5/4/2023</i>
<i>Kristin E. Kassner</i>	<i>2nd Essex</i>	<i>6/20/2023</i>
<i>Judith A. Garcia</i>	<i>11th Suffolk</i>	<i>6/20/2023</i>
<i>Joan B. Lovely</i>	<i>Second Essex</i>	<i>6/21/2023</i>
<i>James J. O'Day</i>	<i>14th Worcester</i>	<i>6/21/2023</i>
<i>Carmine Lawrence Gentile</i>	<i>13th Middlesex</i>	<i>6/30/2023</i>
<i>John J. Mahoney</i>	<i>13th Worcester</i>	<i>7/10/2023</i>
<i>Mathew J. Muratore</i>	<i>1st Plymouth</i>	<i>7/13/2023</i>
<i>Jacob R. Oliveira</i>	<i>Hampden, Hampshire and Worcester</i>	<i>7/20/2023</i>
<i>Samantha Montaño</i>	<i>15th Suffolk</i>	<i>7/20/2023</i>
<i>Kate Donaghue</i>	<i>19th Worcester</i>	<i>7/21/2023</i>
<i>Dylan A. Fernandes</i>	<i>Barnstable, Dukes and Nantucket</i>	<i>8/4/2023</i>
<i>Danillo A. Sena</i>	<i>37th Middlesex</i>	<i>8/18/2023</i>
<i>David T. Vieira</i>	<i>3rd Barnstable</i>	<i>9/6/2023</i>
<i>Steven George Xiarhos</i>	<i>5th Barnstable</i>	<i>10/6/2023</i>
<i>William F. MacGregor</i>	<i>10th Suffolk</i>	<i>10/24/2023</i>
<i>Richard M. Haggerty</i>	<i>30th Middlesex</i>	<i>2/5/2024</i>
<i>Jessica Ann Giannino</i>	<i>16th Suffolk</i>	<i>3/18/2024</i>

HOUSE No. 1399

By Representative Blais of Deerfield, a petition (accompanied by bill, House, No. 1399) of Natalie M. Blais and others relative to controlling and abusive litigation. The Judiciary.

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

**In the One Hundred and Ninety-Third General Court
(2023-2024)**

An Act relative to controlling and abusive litigation.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:

1 The General Laws are hereby amended by inserting after chapter 209D the following
2 chapter:-

3 Chapter 209E.

4 CONTROLLING AND ABUSIVE LITIGATION PREVENTION

5 Section 1. As used in this chapter, the following words shall, unless the context clearly
6 requires otherwise, have the following meanings:

7 “Abuse”, as defined in section 1 of chapter 209A.

8 "Controlling and abusive litigation", litigation where the following apply:

9 (a)(i) The opposing parties have a current or former family or household member
10 relationship;

11 (ii) The party who is filing, initiating, advancing or continuing the litigation has been
12 found by a court to have committed abuse against the other party pursuant to an order entered
13 under chapters 208, 209, 209A, 209C or 258E, or who is found after a hearing in the instant case,
14 to have committed abuse or harassment against the other party that may have warranted the issue
15 of an order under said chapters; and

16 (iii) The litigation is being initiated, advanced or continued primarily for the purpose of
17 abusing, harassing, intimidating, threatening or maintaining contact with the other party; and

18 (b) At least 1 of the following factors apply:

19 (i) Claims, allegations or other legal contentions made in the litigation are not warranted
20 by existing law or by a reasonable argument for the extension, modification or reversal of
21 existing law, or the establishment of new law;

22 (ii) Allegations and other factual contentions made in the litigation are without the
23 existence of evidentiary support; or

24 (iii) An issue or issues that are the basis of the litigation have previously been filed in 1 or
25 more other courts or jurisdictions and the actions have been litigated and disposed of unfavorably
26 to the party filing, initiating, advancing or continuing the litigation.

27 "Family or household members", as defined section 1 of chapter 209A

28 "Harassment", as defined in section 1 of chapter 258E.

29 "Litigation", any kind of legal action or proceeding including, but not limited to:

30 (i) filing a summons, complaint, demand or petition;

31 (ii) serving a summons, complaint, demand or petition, regardless of whether it has been
32 filed;

33 (iii) filing a motion, notice of court date, note for motion docket or order to appear;

34 (iv) serving a motion, notice of court date or order to appear, regardless of whether it has
35 been filed or scheduled;

36 (v) filing a subpoena, subpoena duces tecum, request for interrogatories, request for
37 production, notice of deposition or other discovery request; or

38 (vi) serving a subpoena, subpoena duces tecum, request for interrogatories, request for
39 production, notice of deposition or other discovery request.

40 "Perpetrator of controlling and abusive litigation", a person who files, initiates, advances
41 or continues litigation in violation of an order restricting controlling and abusive litigation.

42 Section 2. (a) A party to a case may request from the court an order restricting controlling
43 and abusive litigation if the parties are current or former family or household members and one
44 party has been found by the court to have committed abuse or harassment against the other party.

45 The request may be made in any form, including, but not limited to:

46 (1) in any answer or response to the litigation being filed, initiated, advanced or
47 continued;

48 (2) by motion made at any time during any open or ongoing case;

49 (3) in an answer or response to any motion or request for an order; or

50 (4) orally in any hearing.

51 (b) Any court of competent jurisdiction may, on its own motion, determine that a hearing
52 pursuant to section 3 is necessary to determine if a party is engaging in controlling and abusive
53 litigation.

54 (c) The chief justice of the trial court shall create forms for the motion for order
55 restricting controlling and abusive litigation and order restricting controlling and abusive
56 litigation.

57 (d) No filing fee shall be charged to the unrestricted party for proceedings pursuant to this
58 section regardless of whether it is filed pursuant to this chapter.

59 (e) The provisions of this section are nonexclusive and shall not affect any other remedy
60 available.

61 Section 3. (a) If a party asserts that they are being subjected to controlling and abusive
62 litigation, the court shall attempt to verify:

63 (1) that the parties are or previously were family or household
64 members; and

65 (2) that the party raising the claim of controlling and abusive litigation has been found to
66 be a victim of abuse or harassment by the other party or finds, after a hearing in the instant case,
67 that said party has been a victim of abuse or harassment. If the court verifies that both elements
68 are true or is unable to verify that they are not true, the court shall set a hearing to determine
69 whether the litigation meets the definition of controlling and abusive litigation.

70 (b) At the time set for the hearing on the alleged controlling and abusive litigation, the
71 court shall hear all relevant testimony and may require any affidavits, documentary evidence or
72 other records the court deems necessary.

73 Section 4. (a) Evidence of any of the following presented at a hearing conducted pursuant
74 to section 3 shall create a rebuttable presumption that litigation is being initiated, advanced or
75 continued primarily for the purpose of harassing, intimidating or maintaining contact with the
76 other party.

77 (1) The same or substantially similar issues between the same or substantially similar
78 parties have been litigated within the past 5 years in the same court or any other court of
79 competent jurisdiction;

80 (2) The same or substantially similar issues between the same or substantially similar
81 parties have been raised, pled or alleged in the past 5 years and were dismissed on the merits or
82 with prejudice;

83 (3) Within the last 10 years, the party allegedly engaging in controlling and abusive
84 litigation has been sanctioned in 1 or more cases, petitions, motions or other filings that were
85 found to have constituted controlling and abusive litigation, been found not to be supported by
86 good grounds, interposed for the delay or found to be frivolous or brought in bad faith involving
87 the same opposing party; or

88 (4) A court of record in another judicial district has determined that the party allegedly
89 engaging in controlling and abusive litigation has previously engaged in controlling and abusive
90 litigation or similar conduct and has been subject to a court order imposing prefiling restrictions.

91 Section 5. (a) If the court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that a party is
92 engaging in controlling and abusive litigation and that any or all of the motions or actions
93 pending before the court are controlling and abusive litigation, the litigation shall be dismissed,
94 denied, stricken or resolved by other disposition with prejudice.

95 (b) In addition to dismissal or denial of any pending controlling and abusive litigation
96 within the jurisdiction of the court, the court shall enter an order restricting controlling and
97 abusive litigation. The order shall:

98 (1) impose all costs of any controlling and abusive civil action pending in the court at the
99 time of the court's finding pursuant to subsection (a) against the party advancing the controlling
100 and abusive litigation, including, but not limited to, court costs, lost wages, transportation costs
101 and costs of child care related to said civil action including trips to court to review files, files
102 pleadings and appear for any type of hearing;

103 (2) award the other party reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of responding to the
104 controlling and abusive litigation including the cost of seeking the order restricting controlling
105 and abusive litigation; and

106 (3) identify the party protected by the order and impose prefiling restrictions upon the
107 party found to have engaged in controlling and abusive litigation for a period of not less than 48
108 months nor more than 72 months.

109 (c) If the court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that the litigation does not
110 constitute controlling and abusive litigation, the court shall enter written findings and the
111 litigation shall proceed. Nothing in this section or chapter shall be construed as limiting the
112 court's inherent authority to control the proceedings and litigants before it.

113 (d) The provisions of this section are nonexclusive and shall not affect any other remedy
114 available to the person who is protected by the order restricting controlling and abusive litigation
115 or to the court.

116 Section 7. (a) Except as provided for in this section, a person who is subject to an order
117 restricting controlling and abusive litigation is prohibited from filing, initiating, advancing or
118 continuing any litigation against the protected party for the period of time filing restrictions are
119 in effect.

120 (b) A person who is subject to an order restricting controlling and abusive litigation and
121 against whom pre-filing restrictions have been imposed pursuant to section 5 who wishes to
122 initiate a new case or file a motion in an existing case during the time the person is under filing
123 restrictions shall first appear before the judge who imposed the pre-filing restrictions or in front of
124 any person designated by the judge to act in this capacity to make application for permission to
125 institute the civil action.

126 (c)(1) The judge or a designee may examine witnesses, court records and any other
127 available evidence to determine if the proposed litigation is controlling and abusive litigation or
128 if there are reasonable and legitimate grounds upon which the litigation is based.

129 (2) If, based on reviewing the records as well as any evidence from the person who is
130 subject to the order, the judge or designee determines the proposed litigation is controlling and
131 abusive litigation, it shall not be necessary for the person protected by the order to appear or
132 participate in the proposed litigation in any way. If the judge or designee is unable to determine
133 whether the proposed litigation is controlling and abusive without hearing from the person
134 protected by the order, then the court shall issue an order scheduling a hearing and notifying the

135 protected party of the party's right to appear and/or participate in the hearing. The order shall
136 specify whether the protected party is expected to submit a written response. When possible, the
137 protected party shall be permitted to appear virtually and provided with instructions for how to
138 appear virtually.

139 (d)(1) If the judge or designee believes the litigation that the party who is subject to the
140 order restricting controlling and abusive litigation is making application to file will constitute
141 controlling and abusive litigation, the application shall be denied, dismissed or otherwise
142 disposed with prejudice.

143 (2) If the judge reasonably believes that the litigation the party who is subject to the order
144 restricting controlling and abusive litigation is making application to file will not be controlling
145 and abusive litigation, the judge or designee may grant the application and issue an order
146 permitting the filing of the case, motion or pleading. The party who is protected by the order
147 shall be served with a copy of the order at the same time as the underlying pleading.

148 (e) The findings of the judge or designee shall be in writing and made a part of the record
149 in the matter. If the party who is subject to the order restricting controlling and abusive litigation
150 disputes the finding of a designee, the party may seek review by the judge. If the party disputes
151 the finding of the judge, the party may seek review of the decision as provided by the applicable
152 court rules.

153 (3) If the application for the filing of a pleading is granted pursuant to this section, the
154 period of time commencing with the filing of the application requesting permission to file the
155 action and ending with the issuance of an order permitting filing of the action shall not be

156 computed as a part of any applicable period of limitations within which the matter must be
157 instituted.

158 (4) If, after a party who is subject to an order restricting controlling and abusive litigation
159 and prefiling restrictions has made application and been granted permission to file or advance a
160 case pursuant to this section, any judge hearing or presiding over the case, or any part thereof,
161 determines that the person is attempting to add parties, amend the complaint or is otherwise
162 attempting to alter the parties and issues involved in the litigation in a manner that the judge
163 reasonably believes would constitute controlling and abusive litigation, the judge shall stay the
164 proceedings and refer the case back to the judge or designee who granted the application to file,
165 for further disposition.

166 (5)(a) If a party who is protected by an order restricting controlling and abusive litigation
167 is served with a pleading filed by the person who is subject to the order restricting controlling
168 and abusive litigation, and the pleading does not have an attached order allowing the pleading,
169 the protected party may respond to the case by filing a copy of the order restricting controlling
170 and abusive litigation.

171 (b) If it is brought to the attention of the court that a person subject to an order restricting
172 controlling and abusive litigation and against whom prefiling restrictions have been imposed has
173 filed a new case or is continuing an existing case without having been granted permission
174 pursuant to this section, the court shall dismiss, deny or otherwise dispose of the matter. The
175 court make take this action on its own motion or initiative. The court may take whatever action
176 against the perpetrator of controlling and abusive litigation deemed necessary and appropriate for
177 a violation of the order restricting controlling and abusive litigation.

178 (c) If a party who is protected by an order restricting controlling and abusive litigation is
179 served with a pleading filed by the person who is subject to the order restricting controlling and
180 abusive litigation, and the pleading does not have an attached order allowing the pleading, the
181 protected party is under no obligation or duty to respond to the summons, complaint, petition,
182 motion, answer interrogatories, appear for depositions or any other responsive action required by
183 rule or statute in a civil action.

184 (6) If the judge or designee who imposed the prefiling restrictions is no longer serving in
185 the same capacity in the same judicial district where the restrictions were placed, or is otherwise
186 unavailable for any reason, any other judicial officer in that judicial district may perform the
187 review required and permitted by this section.

188

189

190