

Dear Representative Galvin,

My name is Ron Pirrello and I live on Bolivar St in Canton. I am writing this letter to voice my concern that again no public hearing was held on this matter and given no other choice, I am submitting this letter as my written testimony. As your constituent, I write to you today to express my disagreement with any hastily-thrown-together legislation that will hamper law enforcement efforts across the Commonwealth and encourage you to vote against Senate bill 2800 submitted to the House of Representatives. It deprives police officers of Massachusetts any basic protections afforded to all other public employees in Massachusetts. It is a rush to judgment being developed behind closed doors. Issues of policing, health and human services, and race are too important to be rushed. Of the many concerns, the following in particular, stand out and demand immediate attention, modification and/or correction. Those issues are:

1. The senate version will seriously undermine public safety because police officers may become more concerned about personal liability than public safety.

The proposed changes to QI will have a serious impact on critical public safety issues.

Unintended and unnecessary changes to QI will hamstring police offices in the course of their duties because they will be subjected to numerous frivolous nuisance suits for any of their actions. Officers may second guess doing what is necessary for public safety and protecting the community because of concerns about legal exposure.

2. The process employed by the senate of using an omnibus bill with numerous, diverse, and complicated policy issues coupled with limited public and policy participation was undemocratic, flawed and totally nontransparent.

The original version of the bill was over 70 pages and had multiple changes to public safety sections of the general laws. It was sent to the floor with no hearing and less than a couple of days for Senators to digest/caucus and receive public comment. This process was a sham.

3. Police support uniform statewide training standards and policies as well as an appropriate regulatory board which is fair and unbiased.

The Governor and supporters of the bill promised to use the 160 or so professional regulatory agencies as a guide for police certification. The senate instead created a board without precedent. The 15-member board proposed to oversee, and judge police officers includes no more than six police officers and four of those police officers will be management/Chief representatives. The remainder of the committee will be dominated by groups critical of law enforcement, if not parties that regularly sue police and law enforcement. The civilian members on the board will lack any familiarity with the basic training, education or standards that apply to police officers. All the other 160 boards include a strong majority of workers from the profession supplemented by a few individuals to represent the general public. Imagine if police officers were appointed to a board to oversee teachers licenses!

4. The removal or any change to Qualified Immunity is unnecessary if the Legislature adopts uniform statewide standards and bans unlawful use of force techniques that all police personnel unequivocally support.

All police organizations support major parts of the bill: strengthening standards and training; having a state body that certifies police officers; banning excessive force techniques and enhancing the diversity process. Once we have uniform standards and policies and a statutory ban of certain use-of-force techniques then officers and the public will know the standards that apply to police officers and conduct that is unaccepted and unprotected by QI.

This will also limit the potential explosion of civil suits against other public employee groups Thus reducing costs that would otherwise go through the roof and potentially have a devastating impact on municipal and agency budgets.

5. Police Officers Deserve the same Due Process Afforded to all Other Public Employees

Public employees and their unions have a right for discipline to be reviewed by a neutral, independent expert in labor relations – whether an arbitrator or the Civil Service Commission. This bill makes the Commissioner’s decisions or the new Committee’s decisions the final authority on certain offenses.

We should affirm the right of all employees to seek independent review of employer discipline at arbitration or civil service.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Ronald E. Pirrello