# **SENATE . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . No. 1725**

## The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

#### PRESENTED BY:

#### Bruce E. Tarr

To the Honorable Senate and House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in General Court assembled:

The undersigned legislators and/or citizens respectfully petition for the adoption of the accompanying bill:

An Act justifying the use of project labor agreements.

#### PETITION OF:

NAME:	DISTRICT/ADDRESS:
Bruce E. Tarr	First Essex and Middlesex
Keiko M. Orrall	12th Bristol
Shawn Dooley	9th Norfolk
Angelo L. D'Emilia	8th Plymouth

#### SENATE DOCKET, NO. 1449 FILED ON: 1/16/2015

## **SENATE** . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . No. 1725

By Mr. Tarr, a petition (accompanied by bill, Senate, No. 1725) of Bruce E. Tarr, Keiko M. Orrall, Shawn Dooley and Angelo L. D'Emilia for legislation to justify the use of project labor agreements. State Administration and Regulatory Oversight.

#### [SIMILAR MATTER FILED IN PREVIOUS SESSION SEE SENATE, NO. 1547 OF 2013-2014.]

### The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

In the One Hundred and Eighty-Ninth General Court (2015-2016)

An Act justifying the use of project labor agreements.

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:* 

1 SECTION 1. Notwithstanding any general or special law to the contrary, an awarding

2 authority electing to require a project labor agreement shall, within sixty days of said decision,

- 3 submit a report to the inspector general:
- 4 (1) stating that the project is of such size, duration, timing and complexity that a project

5 labor agreement is required; and

6 (2) providing a comparative analysis of any benefits of the project labor agreement that

7 led the awarding authority to conclude that such an agreement was required, and any increased

8 costs or adverse impacts caused by the agreement.