

# HOUSE . . . . . No. 1300

---

## The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

PRESENTED BY:

*William C. Galvin and Louis L. Kafka*

*To the Honorable Senate and House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in General Court assembled:*

The undersigned legislators and/or citizens respectfully petition for the adoption of the accompanying bill:

An Act to improve quality, encourage peer review and reduce costs in health care.

PETITION OF:

NAME:	DISTRICT/ADDRESS:	DATE ADDED:
<i>William C. Galvin</i>	<i>6th Norfolk</i>	<i>1/19/2011</i>

# HOUSE . . . . . No. 1300

---

By Messrs. Galvin of Canton and Kafka of Stoughton, a petition (accompanied by bill, House, No. 1300) of William C. Galvin relative to medical peer review confidentiality proceedings and medical malpractice. The Judiciary.

---

## The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

\_\_\_\_\_  
In the Year Two Thousand Eleven  
\_\_\_\_\_

An Act to improve quality, encourage peer review and reduce costs in health care.

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:*

1            AN ACT TO ENCOURAGE QUALITY REVIEWS AND REDUCE COSTS IN  
2 HEALTH CARE

3            Section (1)

4            Chapter 111 of the General Laws, as appearing in the 2008 Official Edition, is hereby  
5 amended by inserting at the end of section 204 the following :

6            (f) The provisions of this section shall apply to any committee formed by an individual  
7 health care provider, physician group practice, licensed health care facility or any combination  
8 thereof to perform the duties or functions of medical peer review as set forth in section one of  
9 this chapter, notwithstanding the fact that the formation of the committee is not required by law  
10 or regulation or that the individual, group or facility is not solely affiliated with a public hospital  
11 or licensed hospital or nursing home or health maintenance organization.

12           Section 2

Chapter 231: Section 60K of the General Laws as appearing in the 2008 Official Edition is hereby amended by its deletion and replacement with the following:

Section 60K. In any action for malpractice, negligence, error, omission, mistake or unauthorized rendering of professional services against a provider of health care, in which a verdict is rendered or a finding made or an order for judgment made for pecuniary damages for personal injuries to the plaintiff or for consequential damages, there shall be added by the clerk of the court to the amount of damages interest thereon, at a rate to be determined as set forth below rather than the rate specified in section 6B of chapter 231, from the date of the commencement of the action even though such interest brings the amount of the verdict or finding beyond the maximum liability imposed by law. For all actions commenced after the effective date of this act, the rate of interest to be applied by the clerk shall be at a rate determined by averaging the weekly 1-year constant maturity Treasury yield, as published by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System for each calendar week from the date the action was commenced to the date of judgment. At no point shall the rate of interest established by this section exceed the rate of interest set forth in said section 6B of chapter 231.

### Section 3

Chapter 231: Section 60 of the General Laws as appearing in the 2008 Official Edition is hereby amended at the end thereof with the addition of the following new section:

### Section 60 L:

This section is intended to prohibit the filing of claims or causes of action based upon the loss of chance doctrine adopted by the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court in the case of *Matsuyama v. Birnbaum*

35 In an action alleging medical malpractice,

36 In any action for malpractice, negligence, error, omission, mistake or unauthorized  
37 rendering of professional services, against a provider of health care, the plaintiff cannot recover  
38 for loss of an opportunity to survive or an opportunity to achieve a better result unless the  
39 opportunity was greater than 50%. In addition the plaintiff shall have the burden of proving the  
40 following:

41 (1) The recognized standard of acceptable professional practice in the profession and the  
42 specialty thereof, if any, that the defendant practices in the community in which he practices or  
43 in a similar community at the time the alleged injury or wrongful action occurred;

44 (2) That the defendant acted with less than or failed to act with ordinary and reasonable  
45 care in accordance with such standard; and

46 (3) As a direct and proximate result of the defendant's negligent act or omission, the  
47 plaintiff suffered injuries which would not otherwise have occurred.

48 (4) The plaintiff was a patient of the defendant and had a professional relationship to the  
49 defendant which created a duty of care on the defendant's part or where the plaintiff is a  
50 reasonably identifiable victim of the patient of a defendant psychotherapist to whom the patient  
51 communicated a serious threat of physical violence against the plaintiff.