

**HOUSE . . . . . No. 1034**

---

**The Commonwealth of Massachusetts**

PRESENTED BY:

*Stephen Kulik*

*To the Honorable Senate and House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in General Court assembled:*

The undersigned legislators and/or citizens respectfully petition for the adoption of the accompanying bill:

An Act relative to administering national standards to Medicaid medical necessity reviews.

PETITION OF:

NAME:	DISTRICT/ADDRESS:	DATE ADDED:
<i>Stephen Kulik</i>	<i>1st Franklin</i>	<i>1/17/2013</i>
<i>Denise Andrews</i>	<i>2nd Franklin</i>	<i>1/29/2013</i>

**HOUSE . . . . . No. 1034**

---

By Mr. Kulik of Worthington, a petition (accompanied by bill, House, No. 1034) of Stephen Kulik and Denise Andrews relative to applying national standards to Medicaid medical necessity reviews. Health Care Financing.

---

**The Commonwealth of Massachusetts**

—————  
**In the Year Two Thousand Thirteen**  
—————

An Act relative to administering national standards to Medicaid medical necessity reviews.

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:*

1           SECTION 1: Section 8 of chapter 118E of the General Laws, as appearing in the 2010  
2 Official Edition, is hereby amended by inserting the following new definitions:

3           “Adverse determination”, a determination from a clinical peer reviewer, based upon a  
4 review of information provided by a healthcare provider, to deny, reduce, modify, or terminate  
5 an admission, continued inpatient stay, or the availability of any other health care services, for  
6 failure to meet the requirements for coverage based on medical necessity, appropriateness of  
7 health care setting and level of care, or effectiveness.

8           “Clinical peer reviewer”, a physician or other health care professional, other than the  
9 physician or other health care professional who made the initial decision, who holds a non-  
10 restricted license from the appropriate professional licensing board in the commonwealth, a  
11 current board certification from a specialty board approved by the American Board of Medical  
12 Specialties or of the Advisory Board of Osteopathic Specialists from the major areas of clinical  
13 services or, for non-physician health care professionals, the recognized professional board for  
14 their specialty, who also actively practices in the same or similar specialty as typically manages  
15 the medical condition, procedure or treatment under review, and whose compensation does not  
16 directly or indirectly depend upon the quantity, type or cost of the services that such person  
17 approves or denies.

18           SECTION 2. Section 51 of said chapter 118E, as so appearing, is hereby amended by  
19 inserting after the first paragraph the following new paragraph

20           Upon making an adverse determination regarding an admission, procedure or service, the  
21 division shall provide a written notification of the adverse determination that shall include a  
22 substantive clinical justification therefor that is consistent with generally accepted principles of  
23 professional medical practice, and shall, at a minimum: (1) identify the specific information upon  
24 which the adverse determination was based; (2) discuss the medical assistance recipient's  
25 presenting symptoms or condition, diagnosis and treatment interventions and the specific reasons  
26 based on national evidence based medical standards and criteria that such medical evidence fails  
27 to meet a national evidence based medical standard and criteria; (3) specify any alternative  
28 treatment option offered by the division, if any; and (4) reference and include applicable clinical  
29 practice guidelines and review criteria. The division shall give a provider treating a medical  
30 assistance recipient an opportunity to seek reconsideration of an adverse determination from a  
31 clinical peer reviewer. The reconsideration process shall occur within one working day of the  
32 receipt of the request and shall be conducted between the provider rendering the service and the  
33 clinical peer reviewer. If the adverse determination is not reversed by the reconsideration  
34 process, the provider may further pursue the division's appeal process.

35           SECTION 3: The Office of Medicaid shall promulgate regulations to implement the  
36 provisions of this Act no later than 90 days after the effective date of the Act.