

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

PRESENTED BY:

Linda Dean Campbell

To the Honorable Senate and House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in General Court assembled:

The undersigned legislators and/or citizens respectfully petition for the adoption of the accompanying bill:

An Act relative to the posting of a security for seized animals in cruelty cases.

PETITION OF:

NAME:	DISTRICT/ADDRESS:	DATE ADDED:
Linda Dean Campbell	15th Essex	1/13/2015
Kathleen O'Connor Ives	First Essex	9/13/2019
Timothy R. Madden	Barnstable, Dukes and Nantucket	9/13/2019
Brian M. Ashe	2nd Hampden	9/13/2019
Jason M. Lewis	Fifth Middlesex	9/13/2019
Mark J. Cusack	5th Norfolk	9/13/2019
Susan Williams Gifford	2nd Plymouth	9/13/2019
William C. Galvin	6th Norfolk	9/13/2019
Ann-Margaret Ferrante	5th Essex	9/13/2019
James R. Miceli	19th Middlesex	9/13/2019
Jonathan D. Zlotnik	2nd Worcester	9/13/2019
John J. Lawn, Jr.	10th Middlesex	9/13/2019
Paul Tucker	7th Essex	9/13/2019
Colleen M. Garry	36th Middlesex	9/13/2019
Joan B. Lovely	Second Essex	9/13/2019
Peter V. Kocot	1st Hampshire	9/13/2019
Alan Silvia	7th Bristol	9/13/2019
Michelle M. DuBois	10th Plymouth	9/13/2019

Michael O. Moore	Second Worcester	9/13/2019
Angelo J. Puppolo, Jr.	12th Hampden	9/13/2019
Joseph W. McGonagle, Jr.	28th Middlesex	9/13/2019
Marjorie C. Decker	25th Middlesex	9/13/2019
Jay D. Livingstone	8th Suffolk	9/13/2019
James B. Eldridge	Middlesex and Worcester	9/13/2019
Paul McMurtry	11th Norfolk	9/13/2019
Angelo L. D'Emilia	8th Plymouth	9/13/2019
Richard J. Ross	Norfolk, Bristol and Middlesex	9/13/2019
RoseLee Vincent	16th Suffolk	9/13/2019
Carolyn C. Dykema	8th Middlesex	9/13/2019
Thomas J. Calter	12th Plymouth	9/13/2019
James M. Cantwell	4th Plymouth	9/13/2019
William Smitty Pignatelli	4th Berkshire	9/13/2019
William N. Brownsberger	Second Suffolk and Middlesex	9/13/2019
Diana DiZoglio	14th Essex	9/13/2019
Stephen L. DiNatale	3rd Worcester	9/13/2019
Aaron Michlewitz	3rd Suffolk	9/13/2019
James J. Dwyer	30th Middlesex	9/13/2019
Kevin G. Honan	17th Suffolk	9/13/2019
Michael D. Brady	Second Plymouth and Bristol	9/13/2019
Tom Sannicandro	7th Middlesex	9/13/2019
Cory Atkins	14th Middlesex	9/13/2019
Thomas M. Stanley	9th Middlesex	9/13/2019
Sean Garballey	23rd Middlesex	9/13/2019
Bruce E. Tarr	First Essex and Middlesex	9/13/2019
Walter F. Timilty	7th Norfolk	9/13/2019
Joseph D. McKenna	18th Worcester	9/13/2019
Shaunna L. O'Connell	3rd Bristol	9/13/2019
Frank A. Moran	17th Essex	9/13/2019
Paul R. Heroux	2nd Bristol	9/13/2019
Gailanne M. Cariddi	1st Berkshire	9/13/2019
Jonathan Hecht	29th Middlesex	9/13/2019
John W. Scibak	2nd Hampshire	9/13/2019
Leah Cole	12th Essex	9/13/2019
Josh S. Cutler	6th Plymouth	9/13/2019
Jennifer E. Benson	37th Middlesex	9/13/2019
Daniel M. Donahue	16th Worcester	9/13/2019
Theodore C. Speliotis	13th Essex	9/13/2019

Harold P. Naughton, Jr.	12th Worcester	9/13/2019
James Arciero	2nd Middlesex	9/13/2019
Anne M. Gobi	Worcester, Hampden, Hampshire and Middlesex	9/13/2019
Kate Hogan	3rd Middlesex	9/13/2019
Marc R. Pacheco	First Plymouth and Bristol	9/13/2019
Daniel J. Hunt	13th Suffolk	9/13/2019
Daniel Cullinane	12th Suffolk	9/13/2019
Sal N. DiDomenico	Middlesex and Suffolk	9/13/2019
Paul Brodeur	32nd Middlesex	2/4/2015
David M. Rogers	24th Middlesex	9/13/2019
James M. Murphy	4th Norfolk	9/13/2019
Kay Khan	11th Middlesex	9/13/2019
Daniel J. Ryan	2nd Suffolk	9/13/2019
Carole A. Fiola	6th Bristol	9/13/2019
Keiko M. Orrall	12th Bristol	9/13/2019
Mark C. Montigny	Second Bristol and Plymouth	9/13/2019

By Mrs. Campbell of Methuen, a petition (accompanied by bill, House, No. 1220) of Linda Dean Campbell and others relative to the posting of security for seized animals in cruelty cases. The Judiciary.

[SIMILAR MATTER FILED IN PREVIOUS SESSION SEE HOUSE, NO. 1243 OF 2013-2014.]

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

In the One Hundred and Eighty-Ninth General Court (2015-2016)

An Act relative to the posting of a security for seized animals in cruelty cases.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:

1 Chapter 272 of the General Laws is hereby replacing section 104 with the following

2 section:-

4 (a) As used in this section the word "Authority" shall mean an organization or authorized

5 agent thereof that seizes or impounds an animal or animals pursuant to the General Laws.

6 (b) If an animal is, or animals are, seized or impounded pursuant to the General Laws 7 relating to cruelty to animals or animal fighting resulting in the issuance of a criminal complaint 8 or a criminal indictment, the authority or prosecuting agency, including the district attorney or 9 attorney general, may file a petition with the court exercising jurisdiction over the criminal

³ Section 104.

10 complaint or criminal indictment requesting that the person from whom an animal is seized or a 11 person claiming an interest in the seized animal, be ordered to post a security. The authority shall 12 serve a copy of the petition on the person from whom the animal was seized, or if the person 13 cannot be found, by posting of copy at the place where the animal was seized. The authority shall 14 also serve a copy of the petition on the district attorney or the attorney general, whichever is 15 appropriate. The court may order that person to post a security.

(c) The security shall be in an amount sufficient to secure payment for all reasonable
expenses incurred, and anticipated, by the authority having custody of the seized animal from the
date of seizure or impoundment and thereafter for a period of at least 30 days. The amount of the
security shall be determined by the court upon the recommendation of the authority. Reasonable
expenses shall include, but shall not be limited to, estimated medical care, quarantine costs,
shelter, and board.

(d) When security is posted in accordance with this section, the authority may draw from the security the actual reasonable costs incurred for medical care, quarantine costs, shelter, and board. If the expenses already incurred by the seizing authority at the time of judicial decision on the petition exceed the petitioned for security amount, the court may permit the security to be paid in its entirety to the authority through the court, or directly from the respondent to the authority, as the court deems appropriate in the interest of justice.

(e) If the court orders the posting of security, the security shall be posted with the clerk
within 10 business days of the court's allowance on the petition. The defendant's failure to post
security within the appointed time shall be deemed an immediate forfeiture of the seized

2 of 3

animal(s) to the authority, with the full force and effect of a court order. The court may waive the
security requirement or reduce the amount of the security for good cause shown.

(f) Posting of the security shall not prevent the authority from disposing of the seized or
impounded animal for humane reasons and in a humane manner before the expiration of the
period covered by the security.

36 (g) The authority may humanely dispose of the animal at the end of the period for which 37 expenses are covered by the security, if the court orders the disposition. If the disposition order is 38 denied, the court may require the owner or custodian or any other person claiming interest in the 39 animal, to provide additional security to secure payment of reasonable expenses and to extend 40 the period of time pending adjudication by the court of the charges against the person from 41 whom the animal was seized.

42 (h) The owner or custodian of an animal humanely euthanized pursuant to this section
43 shall not be entitled to recover damages or the actual value of the animal if the owner or
44 custodian failed to post security.

(i) The court may direct a refund to the person who posted the security in whole or part
for any expenses not incurred by the authority. The court may direct a refund to the person who
posted security upon acquittal of the charges.

3 of 3