

**HOUSE . . . . . No. 2892**

---

**The Commonwealth of Massachusetts**

PRESENTED BY:

***Stephen Kulik***

*To the Honorable Senate and House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in General Court assembled:*

The undersigned legislators and/or citizens respectfully petition for the adoption of the accompanying bill:

An Act relative to double poles.

PETITION OF:

NAME:	DISTRICT/ADDRESS:	DATE ADDED:
<i>Stephen Kulik</i>	<i>1st Franklin</i>	<i>1/15/2015</i>
<i>Denise Provost</i>	<i>27th Middlesex</i>	<i>12/6/2019</i>
<i>Stephen L. DiNatale</i>	<i>3rd Worcester</i>	<i>12/6/2019</i>
<i>Michael O. Moore</i>	<i>Second Worcester</i>	<i>12/6/2019</i>
<i>James B. Eldridge</i>	<i>Middlesex and Worcester</i>	<i>12/6/2019</i>
<i>Chris Walsh</i>	<i>6th Middlesex</i>	<i>12/6/2019</i>
<i>Joseph W. McGonagle, Jr.</i>	<i>28th Middlesex</i>	<i>12/6/2019</i>
<i>Marjorie C. Decker</i>	<i>25th Middlesex</i>	<i>12/6/2019</i>
<i>Jason M. Lewis</i>	<i>Fifth Middlesex</i>	<i>12/6/2019</i>
<i>David F. DeCoste</i>	<i>5th Plymouth</i>	<i>12/6/2019</i>
<i>James J. Dwyer</i>	<i>30th Middlesex</i>	<i>12/6/2019</i>
<i>RoseLee Vincent</i>	<i>16th Suffolk</i>	<i>12/6/2019</i>
<i>James M. Murphy</i>	<i>4th Norfolk</i>	<i>12/6/2019</i>
<i>Kimberly N. Ferguson</i>	<i>1st Worcester</i>	<i>12/6/2019</i>

**HOUSE . . . . . No. 2892**

---

---

By Mr. Kulik of Worthington, a petition (accompanied by bill, House, No. 2892) of Stephen Kulik and others relative to double utility poles. Telecommunications, Utilities and Energy.

---

---

[SIMILAR MATTER FILED IN PREVIOUS SESSION  
SEE HOUSE, NO. 2952 OF 2013-2014.]

**The Commonwealth of Massachusetts**

—————  
**In the One Hundred and Eighty-Ninth General Court  
(2015-2016)**  
—————

An Act relative to double poles.

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:*

1           SECTION 1. Section 34B of chapter 164 of the General Laws, as appearing in the 2012  
2 Official Edition, is hereby amended by inserting after the word “pole;” in line 5, the following:-  
3           provided further, that a city or town may enforce this section by the enactment of a local  
4 ordinance or bylaw prohibiting double poles beyond the ninety days authorized by this section,  
5 violation of which may be punishable by a fine not to exceed a maximum of one thousand  
6 dollars per occurrence.