

**HOUSE . . . . . No. 740****The Commonwealth of Massachusetts**

PRESENTED BY:

***James M. Cantwell and Mike Connolly****To the Honorable Senate and House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in General Court assembled:*

The undersigned legislators and/or citizens respectfully petition for the adoption of the accompanying bill:

**An Act reforming alimony in the Commonwealth.**

PETITION OF:

| NAME:                       | DISTRICT/ADDRESS:                                  | DATE ADDED:      |
|-----------------------------|--|------------------|
| <i>James M. Cantwell</i>    | <i>4th Plymouth</i>                                | <i>1/17/2017</i> |
| <i>Mike Connolly</i>        | <i>26th Middlesex</i>                              | <i>1/19/2017</i> |
| <i>Carolyn C. Dykema</i>    | <i>8th Middlesex</i>                               | <i>1/17/2017</i> |
| <i>F. Jay Barrows</i>       | <i>1st Bristol</i>                                 | <i>2/2/2017</i>  |
| <i>Nicholas A. Boldyga</i>  | <i>3rd Hampden</i>                                 | <i>2/2/2017</i>  |
| <i>Thomas J. Calter</i>     | <i>12th Plymouth</i>                               | <i>1/27/2017</i> |
| <i>Sal N. DiDomenico</i>    | <i>Middlesex and Suffolk</i>                       | <i>2/3/2017</i>  |
| <i>Shawn Dooley</i>         | <i>9th Norfolk</i>                                 | <i>2/2/2017</i>  |
| <i>James J. Dwyer</i>       | <i>30th Middlesex</i>                              | <i>2/2/2017</i>  |
| <i>Ryan C. Fattman</i>      | <i>Worcester and Norfolk</i>                       | <i>1/25/2017</i> |
| <i>Carole A. Fiola</i>      | <i>6th Bristol</i>                                 | <i>2/1/2017</i>  |
| <i>Jennifer L. Flanagan</i> | <i>Worcester and Middlesex</i>                     | <i>1/23/2017</i> |
| <i>Sean Garballey</i>       | <i>23rd Middlesex</i>                              | <i>2/3/2017</i>  |
| <i>Anne M. Gobi</i>         | <i>Worcester, Hampden, Hampshire and Middlesex</i> | <i>1/25/2017</i> |
| <i>Danielle W. Gregoire</i> | <i>4th Middlesex</i>                               | <i>2/3/2017</i>  |
| <i>Stephan Hay</i>          | <i>3rd Worcester</i>                               | <i>2/3/2017</i>  |
| <i>Natalie Higgins</i>      | <i>4th Worcester</i>                               | <i>2/2/2017</i>  |

|                                 |                             |                  |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| <i>Kate Hogan</i>               | <i>3rd Middlesex</i>        | <i>2/1/2017</i>  |
| <i>Bradley H. Jones, Jr.</i>    | <i>20th Middlesex</i>       | <i>1/18/2017</i> |
| <i>Jay R. Kaufman</i>           | <i>15th Middlesex</i>       | <i>1/25/2017</i> |
| <i>James M. Kelcourse</i>       | <i>1st Essex</i>            | <i>1/31/2017</i> |
| <i>Kevin J. Kuros</i>           | <i>8th Worcester</i>        | <i>1/30/2017</i> |
| <i>David Paul Linsky</i>        | <i>5th Middlesex</i>        | <i>1/31/2017</i> |
| <i>Joan B. Lovely</i>           | <i>Second Essex</i>         | <i>2/3/2017</i>  |
| <i>Paul W. Mark</i>             | <i>2nd Berkshire</i>        | <i>2/3/2017</i>  |
| <i>Thomas M. McGee</i>          | <i>Third Essex</i>          | <i>2/2/2017</i>  |
| <i>Joseph W. McGonagle, Jr.</i> | <i>28th Middlesex</i>       | <i>2/2/2017</i>  |
| <i>Aaron Michlewitz</i>         | <i>3rd Suffolk</i>          | <i>2/3/2017</i>  |
| <i>Michael O. Moore</i>         | <i>Second Worcester</i>     | <i>2/3/2017</i>  |
| <i>David K. Muradian, Jr.</i>   | <i>9th Worcester</i>        | <i>1/27/2017</i> |
| <i>Brian Murray</i>             | <i>10th Worcester</i>       | <i>2/3/2017</i>  |
| <i>Shaunna L. O'Connell</i>     | <i>3rd Bristol</i>          | <i>1/30/2017</i> |
| <i>Kathleen O'Connor Ives</i>   | <i>First Essex</i>          | <i>1/27/2017</i> |
| <i>Patrick M. O'Connor</i>      | <i>Plymouth and Norfolk</i> | <i>2/2/2017</i>  |
| <i>Elizabeth A. Poirier</i>     | <i>14th Bristol</i>         | <i>1/31/2017</i> |
| <i>John H. Rogers</i>           | <i>12th Norfolk</i>         | <i>2/3/2017</i>  |
| <i>Jeffrey N. Roy</i>           | <i>10th Norfolk</i>         | <i>2/3/2017</i>  |
| <i>Thomas M. Stanley</i>        | <i>9th Middlesex</i>        | <i>2/2/2017</i>  |
| <i>James E. Timilty</i>         | <i>Bristol and Norfolk</i>  | <i>2/3/2017</i>  |
| <i>David T. Vieira</i>          | <i>3rd Barnstable</i>       | <i>2/2/2017</i>  |

# HOUSE . . . . . No. 740

---

By Messrs. Cantwell of Marshfield and Connolly of Cambridge, a petition (accompanied by bill, House, No. 740) of James M. Cantwell and others relative to alimony. The Judiciary.

---

[SIMILAR MATTER FILED IN PREVIOUS SESSION  
SEE HOUSE, NO. 4427 OF 2015-2016.]

## The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

\_\_\_\_\_  
In the One Hundred and Ninetieth General Court  
(2017-2018)  
\_\_\_\_\_

An Act reforming alimony in the Commonwealth.

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:*

1           SECTION 1. Section 53 of chapter 208 of the General Laws, as appearing in the 2014  
2   Official Edition, is hereby amended by inserting after the word “order”, in line 24, the following  
3   words:- , except in cases where deviation applies pursuant to subsection(e).

4           SECTION 2. Said chapter 208 is hereby further amended by adding the following  
5   section:-

6           Section 56. No alimony judgment under sections 48 to 55, inclusive, where the parties  
7   have agreed in writing that the alimony judgment survives or is not modifiable shall be modified  
8   by a court without the consent of both parties.

9           SECTION 3. Chapter 124 of the acts of 2011 is hereby amended by striking out section  
10   4 and inserting in place thereof the following section:-

Section 4. (a) As used in this section the following words shall, unless the context clearly requires otherwise, have the following meanings:-

"Alimony", the payment of support from a spouse, who has the ability to pay, to a spouse in need of support for a reasonable length of time, under a court order.

"Existing alimony judgment", an order of a court to pay alimony entered by a court prior to March 1, 2012.

"General term alimony", the periodic payment of support to a recipient spouse who is economically dependent.

"Payor", a spouse required by order of a court to pay alimony or general term alimony.

"Recipient", a spouse receiving by order of a court alimony or general term alimony.

(b) Existing alimony judgments shall be general term alimony as that term is defined in section 48 of chapter 208 of the General Laws.

(c) Unless otherwise provided in this section, existing alimony judgments shall terminate pursuant to the terms of such existing alimony judgment or pursuant to an order of modification entered by a court.

(d) Existing alimony judgments that exceed the durational limits under section 49 of said chapter 208 shall be deemed a material change of circumstance that warrants modification and shall be modified upon a complaint for modification without additional material change of circumstance, unless the court finds that deviation from the durational limits is warranted.

(e) A payor of alimony paying alimony pursuant to an existing alimony judgment may file a complaint for modification of the existing alimony judgment if the recipient is determined

to be cohabitating pursuant to subsection (d) of said section 49 of said chapter 208. A court considering a complaint for modification of an existing alimony judgment pursuant to this subsection shall consider the recipient's cohabitation a material change of circumstance when ruling on the complaint for modification.

General term alimony shall be suspended, reduced or terminated upon the cohabitation of the recipient spouse when the payor shows that the recipient spouse has maintained a common household, as defined in this subsection, with another person for a continuous period of at least 3 months.

(1) Persons are deemed to maintain a common household when they share a primary residence together with or without others. In determining whether the recipient is maintaining a common household, the court may consider any of the following factors:

(i) oral or written statements or representations made to third parties regarding the relationship of the persons;

(ii) the economic interdependence of the couple or economic dependence of 1 person on the other;

(iii) the persons engaging in conduct and collaborative roles in furtherance of their life together;

(iv) the benefit in the life of either or both of the persons from their relationship;

(v) the community reputation of the persons as a couple; or

(vi) other relevant and material factors.

52           (2) An alimony obligation suspended, reduced or terminated under this subsection may  
53 be reinstated upon termination of the recipient's common household relationship; but, if  
54 reinstated, it shall not extend beyond the termination date of the original order.

55           (f) A payor of alimony paying alimony pursuant to an existing alimony judgment may  
56 file a complaint for modification of the existing alimony judgment if the payor has reached full  
57 retirement age pursuant to subsection (f) of said section 49 of said chapter 208. A court  
58 considering a complaint for modification of an existing alimony judgment pursuant to this  
59 subsection shall consider the payor's reaching full retirement age a material change of  
60 circumstance when ruling on the complaint for modification. The court may grant a recipient an  
61 extension of an existing alimony order for good cause shown; provided, however, that in  
62 granting an extension, the court shall enter written findings.

63           (g) No existing alimony judgment under this section where the parties have agreed in  
64 writing that the existing alimony judgment survives or is not modifiable shall be modified by a  
65 court without the consent of both parties.