

HOUSE No. 2673

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

PRESENTED BY:

David Biele

To the Honorable Senate and House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in General Court assembled:

The undersigned legislators and/or citizens respectfully petition for the adoption of the accompanying bill:

An Act relating to public land use restrictions in the South Boston section of the city of Boston.

PETITION OF:

NAME:	DISTRICT/ADDRESS:	DATE ADDED:
<i>David Biele</i>	<i>4th Suffolk</i>	<i>1/18/2019</i>
<i>Tommy Vitolo</i>	<i>15th Norfolk</i>	<i>1/29/2019</i>

HOUSE No. 2673

By Mr. Biele of Boston, a petition (accompanied by bill, House, No. 2673) of David Biele and Tommy Vitolo relative to restrictions on real estate interests held by the Massachusetts Port Authority that prohibit the use of real estate property for residential purposes in the South Boston section of the city of Boston. State Administration and Regulatory Oversight.

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

In the One Hundred and Ninety-First General Court
(2019-2020)

An Act relating to public land use restrictions in the South Boston section of the city of Boston.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:

1 Notwithstanding any other general or special law to the contrary, the restriction on real
2 estate interests held by the Massachusetts Port Authority that prohibits the use of real estate
3 property for residential purposes in the South Boston section of the city of Boston, found in the
4 restriction and easement agreement filed with the Suffolk County registry of deeds at book
5 53857 pages 242 through 254, shall not be released without first submitting a complete analysis
6 and assessment of the fair market value of said property or interest therein to the Senate and
7 House committees on ways and means, the joint committee on state administration, the Senate
8 and House committee on bonding and capital assets, the joint committee on export development,
9 and the inspector general for review, and without receiving the approval of two-thirds of the
10 general court. The general court may only take action on said restriction after a 90-day review
11 period of said analysis and assessment.