HOUSE No. 3274

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

PRESENTED BY:

Michael S. Day and Marjorie C. Decker

To the Honorable Senate and House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in General Court assembled:

The undersigned legislators and/or citizens respectfully petition for the adoption of the accompanying bill:

An Act relative to access to justice.

PETITION OF:

NAME:	DISTRICT/ADDRESS:	DATE ADDED:
Michael S. Day	31st Middlesex	1/15/2019
Marjorie C. Decker	25th Middlesex	1/18/2019
Jack Patrick Lewis	7th Middlesex	1/24/2019
Jason M. Lewis	Fifth Middlesex	1/24/2019
Steven Ultrino	33rd Middlesex	1/25/2019
Carolyn C. Dykema	8th Middlesex	1/25/2019
Tram T. Nguyen	18th Essex	1/28/2019
Thomas M. Stanley	9th Middlesex	1/28/2019
Adrian C. Madaro	1st Suffolk	1/29/2019
Tommy Vitolo	15th Norfolk	1/30/2019
Patricia D. Jehlen	Second Middlesex	1/30/2019
Joseph A. Boncore	First Suffolk and Middlesex	1/31/2019
Mike Connolly	26th Middlesex	1/31/2019
Denise Provost	27th Middlesex	1/31/2019
David M. Rogers	24th Middlesex	1/31/2019
Russell E. Holmes	6th Suffolk	1/31/2019
Carlos González	10th Hampden	1/31/2019
Natalie M. Higgins	4th Worcester	1/31/2019

Sal N. DiDomenico	Middlesex and Suffolk	1/31/2019
Jennifer E. Benson	37th Middlesex	1/31/2019
Tami L. Gouveia	14th Middlesex	1/31/2019
Carmine Lawrence Gentile	13th Middlesex	1/31/2019
Mindy Domb	3rd Hampshire	1/31/2019
Andres X. Vargas	3rd Essex	1/31/2019
Lori A. Ehrlich	8th Essex	2/1/2019
Liz Miranda	5th Suffolk	2/1/2019
Daniel R. Cullinane	12th Suffolk	2/1/2019
Sean Garballey	23rd Middlesex	2/1/2019
Jonathan Hecht	29th Middlesex	2/1/2019
James B. Eldridge	Middlesex and Worcester	2/1/2019
Kay Khan	11th Middlesex	2/1/2019
William J. Driscoll, Jr.	7th Norfolk	2/1/2019
Michelle L. Ciccolo	15th Middlesex	2/1/2019
Daniel J. Ryan	2nd Suffolk	2/1/2019
Stephan Hay	3rd Worcester	2/1/2019
James K. Hawkins	2nd Bristol	2/1/2019
Brendan P. Crighton	Third Essex	2/1/2019
Julian Cyr	Cape and Islands	2/1/2019

HOUSE No. 3274

By Representatives Day of Stoneham and Decker of Cambridge, a petition (accompanied by bill, House, No. 3274) of Michael S. Day, Marjorie C. Decker and others relative to interviews or questioning conducted for immigration investigations or enforcement purposes. The Judiciary.

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

In the One Hundred and Ninety-First General Court (2019-2020)

An Act relative to access to justice.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:

- 1 SECTION 1. Section 24 of chapter 37 of the General Laws, as appearing in the 2016
- 2 Official Edition, is hereby amended by inserting after clause (e) the following clause:-
- 3 (f) federal detainees housed in their facilities to and from the several divisions or
- 4 departments of the trial court, pursuant to a valid state court writ of habeas corpus.
- 5 SECTION 2. Chapter 147 of the General Laws is hereby amended by inserting after
- 6 section 62 the following section:-
- 7 Section 63. (a) An interview or any questioning conducted for immigration investigation
- 8 or enforcement purposes of a person in the custody of any state or local law enforcement agency,
- 9 sheriff's office, the department of correction, or Massachusetts court, shall take place only with
- the written informed consent of the person in custody, unless otherwise required by federal law.
- If the person in custody indicates that they wish to have an attorney present for an interview with
- 12 a federal agent, the custodian shall allow them to contact such attorney, and in the case that no

attorney can be present, the interview shall not take place. The custodian agency shall not be responsible for the payment of the person's attorney's fees and expenses.

- (b) The office of the attorney general shall prepare a uniform consent form in English and other languages commonly spoken in Massachusetts for use pursuant to subsection (a), which shall: (i) explain the purpose of the interview, that the interview is voluntary, that the person may decline to be interviewed or may choose to be interviewed only with an attorney present, and that the person may decline to sign any documents presented to them at the interview; and (ii) document the person's consent or lack thereof, whether an interview took place, and, if so, whether an attorney was present. Custodian agencies shall make their best efforts to provide a form in a language that the person understands, and to provide interpretation if needed. Consent forms shall be public records as defined in clause Twenty-sixth of section 7 of chapter 4, provided that the name, address, phone number and other personal identifying information regarding the interview subject shall not be a public record.
- (c) The provisions of paragraphs (a) and (b) shall not apply to persons in federal custody who are held in a state or local facility pursuant to an intergovernmental contract.
- (d) Court officers, clerks, probation department employees, other trial court administrative personnel, prosecutors, and personnel of the prosecutor's office, may provide federal agencies or agents with information relating to any person involved in matters before the court only upon request and in the same manner and to the same extent as such information is lawfully made available to the general public. Such officials shall not otherwise notify federal agencies or agents of the presence of individuals attending proceedings in Massachusetts courthouses, unless required by federal law.

(e) The superior court in the county where the person is held shall be authorized to hear any claim in law or equity arising from violation of this section.

SECTION 3. Section 3 of chapter 258B of the General Laws, as appearing in the 2016 Official Edition, is hereby amended by inserting after clause (w) the following clause:-

(x) for victims, family members, and witnesses, to not be asked by a law enforcement agency, the prosecutor, personnel in the prosecutor's office, trial court personnel, or parole, probation or corrections officials about their immigration status, or the immigration status of their family members, unless such inquiry is required by federal or state law; provided that a judge or magistrate may make such inquiries as are necessary to adjudicate matters within their jurisdiction. The court may enter orders or conditions to maintain limited disclosure of any information regarding immigration status as it deems appropriate to protect the liberty interests of victims, family members and witnesses.