SENATE No. 1902

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

PRESENTED BY:

Michael J. Rodrigues

To the Honorable Senate and House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in General Court assembled:

The undersigned legislators and/or citizens respectfully petition for the adoption of the accompanying bill:

An Act further defining fraud in public construction contracts.

PETITION OF:

NAME:	DISTRICT/ADDRESS:	
Michael J. Rodrigues	First Bristol and Plymouth	
Paul A. Schmid, III	8th Bristol	1/23/2019
Norman J. Orrall	12th Bristol	1/30/2019
Carole A. Fiola	6th Bristol	2/1/2019

SENATE No. 1902

By Mr. Rodrigues, a petition (accompanied by bill, Senate, No. 1902) of Michael J. Rodrigues, Paul A. Schmid, III, Norman J. Orrall and Carole A. Fiola for legislation to further define fraud in public construction contracts. State Administration and Regulatory Oversight.

[SIMILAR MATTER FILED IN PREVIOUS SESSION SEE SENATE, NO. 1697 OF 2017-2018.]

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

In the One Hundred and Ninety-First General Court (2019-2020)

An Act further defining fraud in public construction contracts.

7

8

9

10

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:

SECTION 1. Subsection (a) of section 39M of chapter 30 of the General Laws, as
appearing in the 2010 Official Edition, is hereby amended by striking out, in lines 21 to 23, the
words "The undersigned certifies under penalties of perjury that this bid is in all respects bona
fide, fair and made without collusion or fraud with any other person" and inserting in place
thereof the following words:
"The undersigned certifies under penalties of perjury that this bid is in all respects bona

paragraph the word "fraud" shall mean a statement, act or omission relating to a material fact of

fide, fair and made without collusion with any other person or entity or fraud. As used in this

significant importance to the construction project at issue that (i) has been relied upon to

detriment of an awarding authority or its representatives (ii) is knowingly false or misleading or

11	is in reckless disregard	of its truth or falsit	v and (iii) is intended to	mislead and has been shown

12 by clear and convincing evidence to have done so."