

**SENATE . . . . . No. 2385**

---

**The Commonwealth of Massachusetts**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**In the One Hundred and Ninety-First General Court**  
**(2019-2020)**  
\_\_\_\_\_

Senate, October 28, 2019

The committee on State Administration and Regulatory Oversight, to whom was referred the petitions (accompanied by bill, Senate, No. 1818) of Nick Collins and David Biele for legislation relative to public land use restrictions; and (accompanied by bill, House, No. 2673) of David Biele and Tommy Vitolo relative to restrictions on real estate interests held by the Massachusetts Port Authority that prohibit the use of real estate property for residential purposes in the South Boston section of the city of Boston,- reports the accompanying bill (Senate, No. 2385).

For the committee,  
Marc R. Pacheco

**SENATE . . . . . No. 2385**

---

**The Commonwealth of Massachusetts**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**In the One Hundred and Ninety-First General Court  
(2019-2020)**  
\_\_\_\_\_

An Act relative to land use restrictions in the South Boston section of the city of Boston.

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:*

1           Notwithstanding any other general or special law to the contrary, the restriction held by  
2 the Massachusetts Port Authority filed with the Suffolk county registry of deeds at book 53857,  
3 page 242 shall not be released until: (1) the Authority submits a complete analysis and  
4 assessment of the fair market value of said property or interest therein to the house and senate  
5 committees on ways and means, the joint committee on state administration, the house and  
6 senate committee on bonding and capital assets, the joint committee on export development and  
7 the inspector general for review, and (2) legislation has been filed and enacted pursuant to article  
8 II of section 1 of chapter 1 of part the second of the Constitution; provided, that the legislation  
9 shall only be enacted upon approval by a vote, taken by the yeas and nays, of two-thirds of each  
10 house of the general court present and voting thereon; and provided, further that the general court  
11 shall only enact legislation relative to said restriction after a 90-day review period of the analysis  
12 and assessment.