#### 

### The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

#### PRESENTED BY:

#### Sean Garballey and Simon Cataldo

To the Honorable Senate and House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in General Court assembled:

The undersigned legislators and/or citizens respectfully petition for the adoption of the accompanying bill:

An Act establishing a commission on automated decision-making by government in the Commonwealth.

#### PETITION OF:

NAME:	DISTRICT/ADDRESS:	DATE ADDED:
Sean Garballey	23rd Middlesex	1/19/2023
Simon Cataldo	14th Middlesex	1/19/2023
Vanna Howard	17th Middlesex	1/31/2023
James B. Eldridge	Middlesex and Worcester	3/13/2023

# HOUSE DOCKET, NO. 2261 FILED ON: 1/19/2023

By Representatives Garballey of Arlington and Cataldo of Concord, a petition (accompanied by bill, House, No. 64) of Sean Garballey, Simon Cataldo and Vanna Howard for legislation to establish a commission (including members of the General Court) relative to state agency automated decision-making, artificial intelligence, transparency, fairness, and individual rights. Advanced Information Technology, the Internet and Cybersecurity.

# [SIMILAR MATTER FILED IN PREVIOUS SESSION SEE HOUSE, NO. 4512 OF 2021-2022.]

## The Commonwealth of Alassachusetts

In the One Hundred and Ninety-Third General Court (2023-2024)

An Act establishing a commission on automated decision-making by government in the Commonwealth.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:

1	SECTION 1. Chapter 7D of the General Laws, as amended by chapter 64 of the acts of
2	2017, is hereby further amended by inserting after section 10 the following new section:-
3	Section 11. (a) As used in this section, the following words shall have the following
4	meanings unless the context clearly requires otherwise:
5	"Algorithm", a specific procedure, set of rules, or order of operations designed to solve a
6	problem or make a calculation, classification, or recommendation.

7	"Artificial intelligence", computerized methods and tools, including but not limited to
8	machine learning and natural language processing, that act in a way that resembles human
9	cognitive abilities when it comes to solving problems or performing certain tasks.
10	"Automated decision system", any computer program, method, statistical model, or
11	process that aims to aid or replace human decision-making using algorithms or artificial
12	intelligence. These systems can include analyzing complex datasets about human populations
13	and government services or other activities to generate scores, predictions, classifications, or
14	recommendations used by agencies to make decisions that impact human welfare.
15	"Commonwealth of Massachusetts or "Massachusetts office", any agency, constitutional
16	office, department, board, commission, bureau, division or authority of the commonwealth, or of
17	any political subdivision thereof, or of any authority established by the general court to serve a
18	public purpose.
19	"Identified group characteristic", age, race, creed, color, religion, national origin, gender,
20	disability, sexual orientation, marital status, veteran status, receipt of public assistance, economic
20 21	disability, sexual orientation, marital status, veteran status, receipt of public assistance, economic status, location of residence, or citizenship status.
21	status, location of residence, or citizenship status.
21 22	status, location of residence, or citizenship status. "Source code", the structure of a computer program that can be read and understood by
21 22 23	status, location of residence, or citizenship status. "Source code", the structure of a computer program that can be read and understood by people.
21 22 23 24	status, location of residence, or citizenship status. "Source code", the structure of a computer program that can be read and understood by people. "Training data", the data used to inform the development of an automated decision

28	commonwealth of automated decision systems that may affect human welfare, including but not
29	limited to the legal rights and privileges of individuals. The commission shall evaluate
30	government use of automated decision systems in the commonwealth and make
31	recommendations to the legislature regarding appropriate regulations, limits, standards and
32	safeguards. The commission shall:
33	(i) undertake a complete and specific survey of all uses of automated decision systems by
34	the commonwealth of Massachusetts and the purposes for which such systems are used,
35	including but not limited to:
36	(a) the principles, policies, and guidelines adopted by specific Massachusetts offices to
37	inform the procurement, evaluation, and use of automated decision systems, and the procedures
38	by which such principles, policies, and guidelines are adopted;
39	(b) the training specific Massachusetts offices provide to individuals using automated
39 40	
40	decision systems, and the procedures for enforcing the principles, policies, and guidelines
40	decision systems, and the procedures for enforcing the principles, policies, and guidelines
40 41	decision systems, and the procedures for enforcing the principles, policies, and guidelines regarding their use;
40 41 42	decision systems, and the procedures for enforcing the principles, policies, and guidelines regarding their use; (c) the manner by which Massachusetts offices validate and test the automated decision
40 41 42 43	decision systems, and the procedures for enforcing the principles, policies, and guidelines regarding their use; (c) the manner by which Massachusetts offices validate and test the automated decision systems they use, and the manner by which they evaluate those systems on an ongoing basis,
<ol> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> </ol>	decision systems, and the procedures for enforcing the principles, policies, and guidelines regarding their use; (c) the manner by which Massachusetts offices validate and test the automated decision systems they use, and the manner by which they evaluate those systems on an ongoing basis, specifying the training data, input data, systems analysis, studies, vendor or community engagement, third-parties, or other methods used in such validation, testing, and evaluation;
<ol> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> </ol>	decision systems, and the procedures for enforcing the principles, policies, and guidelines regarding their use; (c) the manner by which Massachusetts offices validate and test the automated decision systems they use, and the manner by which they evaluate those systems on an ongoing basis, specifying the training data, input data, systems analysis, studies, vendor or community engagement, third-parties, or other methods used in such validation, testing, and evaluation; (d) matters related to the transparency, explicability, auditability, and accountability of
<ol> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> </ol>	decision systems, and the procedures for enforcing the principles, policies, and guidelines regarding their use; (c) the manner by which Massachusetts offices validate and test the automated decision systems they use, and the manner by which they evaluate those systems on an ongoing basis, specifying the training data, input data, systems analysis, studies, vendor or community engagement, third-parties, or other methods used in such validation, testing, and evaluation;
<ol> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> </ol>	decision systems, and the procedures for enforcing the principles, policies, and guidelines regarding their use; (c) the manner by which Massachusetts offices validate and test the automated decision systems they use, and the manner by which they evaluate those systems on an ongoing basis, specifying the training data, input data, systems analysis, studies, vendor or community engagement, third-parties, or other methods used in such validation, testing, and evaluation; (d) matters related to the transparency, explicability, auditability, and accountability of

49 be audited externally and independently; and the people who operate such systems and the50 training they receive;

(e) the manner and extent to which Massachusetts offices make the automated decision systems they use available to external review, and any existing policies, laws, procedures, or guidelines that may limit external access to data or technical information that is necessary for audits, evaluation, or validation of such systems; and

(f) procedures and policies in place to protect the due process rights of individuals
directly affected by Massachusetts offices' use of automated decision systems, including but not
limited to public disclosure and transparency procedures;

(ii) consult with experts in the fields of machine learning, algorithmic bias, algorithmic
auditing, and civil and human rights;

60 (iii) examine research related to the use of automated decision systems that directly or
61 indirectly result in disparate outcomes for individuals or communities based on an identified
62 group characteristic;

(iv) conduct a survey of technical, legal, or policy controls to improve the just and
equitable use of automated decision systems and mitigate any disparate impacts deriving from
their use, including best practices, policy tools, laws, and regulations developed through research
and academia or proposed or implemented in other states and jurisdictions;

(v) examine matters related to data sources, data sharing agreements, data security
provisions, compliance with data protection laws and regulations, and all other issues related to

how data is protected, used, and shared by agencies using automated decision systems, in
Massachusetts and in other jurisdictions;

(vi) examine matters related to automated decision systems and intellectual property,
such as the existence of non-disclosure agreements, trade secrets claims, and other proprietary
interests, and the impacts of intellectual property considerations on transparency, explicability,
auditability, accountability, and due process; and

(vii) examine any other opportunities and risks associated with the use of automated
decision systems by Massachusetts offices.

77 (c) The commission shall consist of the secretary of technology services and security or 78 the secretary's designee, who shall serve as chair; 1 member of the Senate, designated by the 79 Senate president; 1 member of the house of representatives, designated by the speaker of the 80 house of representatives; the house and senate chairs of the joint committee on state 81 administration and regulatory oversight; the chief justice of the supreme judicial court or a 82 designee; the attorney general or a designee; the state auditor or a designee; the inspector general 83 or a designee; the secretaries of the Executive Office of Public Safety and Security, and 84 Executive Office of Health and Human Services, or their designees; the Commissioner of the 85 Department of Children and Families, or their designee; the chief counsel of the committee for 86 public counsel services or a designee; the chief legal counsel of the Massachusetts Bar 87 Association or a designee; the executive director of the American Civil Liberties Union of 88 Massachusetts or a designee; 6 representatives from academic institutions in the Commonwealth 89 who shall be experts in (i) artificial intelligence and machine learning, (ii) data science and 90 information policy, (iii) social implications of artificial intelligence and technology; or (iv)

technology and the law, 3 to be appointed by the House Chair and 3 to be appointed by the
Senate Chair of the joint committee on advanced information technology and cybersecurity; the
executive director of the Massachusetts Law Reform Institute or a designee; 1 representative
from the National Association of Social Workers; 1 representative from the NAACP; 5
representatives from the Massachusetts Technology Collaborative; and 1 representative from the
Massachusetts High Technology Council.

97 (d) Members of the commission shall be appointed within 45 days of the effective date of
98 this act. The commission shall meet at the call of the chair based on the commission's workload
99 but not fewer than 10 times per calendar year. The commission shall hold at least one public
100 hearing to solicit feedback from Massachusetts residents and other interested parties. The
101 commission's meetings shall be broadcast over the internet.

(e) The commission shall submit an annual report by December 31 to the governor, the
clerks of the house of representatives and the senate, and the joint committee on advanced
information technology and cybersecurity. The report will be a public record and it shall include,
but not be limited to:

(i) a description of the commission's activities and any community engagementundertaken by the commission;

(ii) the commission's findings, including but not limited to the publication of a list of all
 automated decision systems in use in Massachusetts offices, the policies, procedures, and
 training guidelines in place to govern their use, and any contracts with third parties pertaining to
 the acquisition or deployment of such systems; and

(iii) any recommendations for regulatory or legislative action, including but not limited tothe following:

(a) recommendations about areas where Massachusetts offices ought not to useautomated decision systems;

(b) recommendations about whether and how existing state laws, regulations, programs,
policies, and practices related to the use of automated decision systems should be amended to
promote racial and economic justice, equity, fairness, accountability, and transparency;

(c) recommendations for the development and implementation of policies and proceduresthat may be used by the state for the following purposes:

(i) to allow a person affected by a rule, policy, or action made by, or with the assistance
of, an automated decision system, to request and receive an explanation of such rule, policy, or
action and the basis therefor;

(ii) to determine whether an automated decision system disproportionately or unfairly
impacts a person or group based on an identified group characteristic;

(iii) to determine prior to or during the procurement or acquisition process whether a
 proposed agency automated decision system is likely to disproportionately or unfairly impact a
 person or group based on an identified group characteristic;

(iv) to address instances in which a person or group is harmed by an agency automated
decision system if any such system is found to disproportionately impact a person or group on
the basis of an identified group characteristic; and

- 132 (v) to make information publicly available that, for each automated decision system, will
- 133 allow the public to meaningfully assess how such system functions and is used by the state,
- 134 including making technical information about such system publicly available.