HOUSE No.

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

PRESENTED BY:

Marjorie C. Decker

To the Honorable Senate and House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in General Court assembled:

The undersigned legislators and/or citizens respectfully petition for the adoption of the accompanying bill:

An Act advancing health care research and decision-making centered on patients and people with disabilities.

PETITION OF:

NAME:	DISTRICT/ADDRESS:	DATE ADDED:
Marjorie C. Decker	25th Middlesex	1/15/2025

HOUSE No.

[Pin Slip]

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

In the One Hundred and Ninety-Fourth General Court (2025-2026)

An Act advancing health care research and decision-making centered on patients and people with disabilities.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:

- SECTION 1. Chapter 118E of the General Laws is hereby amended by adding the
 following section:
- 3 Section 80: Patient-Centeredness

Section 80 (a) Standards for Patient-Centeredness in Research & Analysis. The Division
of Medical Assistance shall ensure that any portfolio of research and analysis relied upon for
decision-making, whether provided by a state agency or a third party, impacting enrollee access
to healthcare treatments and services, meets standards of patient-centeredness. The Division of
Medical Assistance shall publicly provide a summary of patient-centeredness standards for any
such analysis that includes, but is not limited to:

1) Evaluation of a range of research and analysis that includes outcomes prioritized by
 patients and people with disabilities within a specific disease area. If necessary, the Division of

Medical Assistance will commission a survey of patients to identify relevant outcomes within adisease area.

14 2) Evaluation of a range of research and analysis that looks at relevant patient subgroups
15 to ensure consideration of important differences in preferences and clinical characteristics within
16 patient subpopulations.

3) Scientific Rigor: The Division of Medical Assistance shall require research and
analysis to comply with good research practices, defined as consideration of the full range of
relevant, peer-reviewed evidence (e.g., real-world evidence, research from range of sponsors
including manufacturers), avoid patient harm through over-interpretation of findings of
"inconclusive" evidence of clinical differences and instead allow time for conduct of additional
research.

(b) Prohibition on Reliance on Discriminatory Measures. The Division of Medical
Assistance shall not develop or utilize, directly or indirectly through a contracted entity or other
third-party, a dollars-per-quality adjusted life year or any similar measures or research in
determining whether a particular health care treatment is cost effective, recommended, the value
of a treatment, or in determining coverage, reimbursement, appropriate payment amounts, costsharing, or incentive policies or programs.

(c) Appeals and Physician Override Mechanisms. The Division of Medical Assistance
 may not implement any policy limiting patient access to healthcare treatment and services which
 does not contain an appeals or physician override mechanism. Physicians may not be
 discriminated against or otherwise negatively impacted for utilizing available physician override
 mechanisms.

2 of 4

34 SECTION 2. Chapter 6D of the General Laws is hereby amended by adding the35 following section:

36	Section 20. Patient-Centeredness Standards for Health Policy Commission Reviews
37	Section 20 (a) Standards for Patient-Centeredness in Research & Analysis. The Health
38	Policy Commission shall ensure that any portfolio of research and analysis relied upon for
39	determining the value of a healthcare treatment or service, whether provided by a state agency
40	or a third party, impacting enrollee access to healthcare treatments and services, meets standards
41	of patient-centeredness. The Health Policy Commission shall publicly provide a summary of
42	patient-centeredness standards for any such analysis that includes, but is not limited to:
43	1) Evaluation of a range of research and analysis that includes outcomes prioritized by
44	patients and people with disabilities within a specific disease area. If necessary, the Health Policy
45	Commission will commission a survey of patients to identify relevant outcomes within a disease
46	area.
47	2) Evaluation of a range of research and analysis that looks at relevant patient subgroups
48	to ensure consideration of important differences in preferences and clinical characteristics within
49	patient subpopulations.
50	3) Scientific Rigor: The Health Policy Commission shall require research and analysis to
51	comply with good research practices, defined as consideration of the full range of relevant, peer-
52	reviewed evidence (e.g., real-world evidence, research from range of sponsors including
53	manufacturers), avoid patient harm through over-interpretation of findings of "inconclusive"
54	evidence of clinical differences and instead allow time for conduct of additional research.

3 of 4

(b) Prohibition on Reliance on Discriminatory Measures. The Health Policy Commission
shall not develop or utilize, directly or indirectly through a contracted entity or other third-party,
a dollars-per-quality adjusted life year or any similar measures or research in determining
whether a particular health care treatment is cost effective, recommended, the value of a
treatment, or in determining coverage, reimbursement, appropriate payment amounts, costsharing, or incentive policies or programs.