# OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR \_\_\_\_\_\_ DIANA DIZOGLIO

Official Report - Issued February 7, 2025

Medicaid Audit Unit—Annual Report

For the period March 2, 2024 through February 28, 2025



# OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR MEDICAID AUDIT UNIT

#### March 2, 2024 through February 28, 2025

#### **Introduction**

The Office of the State Auditor (OSA) receives an annual appropriation for the operation of a Medicaid Audit Unit (the Unit) for the purposes of preventing and identifying fraud, waste, and abuse in the MassHealth system and making recommendations for improved operations. The state's fiscal year 2025 budget (Chapter 140 of the Acts of 2024) requires that OSA submit a report to the House and Senate Committees on Ways and Means by no later than February 28, 2025 that includes (1) "all findings on activities and payments made through the MassHealth system;" (2) "to the extent available, a review of all post-audit efforts undertaken by MassHealth to recoup payments owed to the commonwealth due to identified fraud and abuse;" (3) "the responses of MassHealth to the most recent post-audit review survey, including the status of recoupment efforts;" and (4) "the unit's recommendations to enhance recoupment efforts."

For fiscal year 2025, the appropriation for the Unit was \$1,440,504. This amount represents an approximately 2.9% increase over the Unit's fiscal year 2024 appropriation of \$1,399,658. OSA submits all costs (direct and indirect) associated with running the Unit to the Executive Office of Health and Human Services (EOHHS) to be included in its quarterly filings with the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services for federal cost sharing. In federal fiscal year 2024, OSA submitted a total of \$1,622,790 to EOHHS for consideration for the state's program integrity, allowing the state to obtain a 50%, or \$811,395, reimbursement of these costs.

This report, which is being submitted by OSA in accordance with Chapter 140 of the Acts of 2024, provides a summary of the following performance audits of MassHealth: (1) a review of capitation payments made to members with multiple Medicaid identification numbers (IDs), (2) a review of telehealth adult day health (ADH), and (3) a review of telehealth adult foster care (AFC) and group adult foster care (GAFC). This report also details an estimated \$27,259,164 of potential cost savings we identified in the MassHealth program.

#### **Background**

EOHHS administers the state's Medicaid program, known as MassHealth. This program provides access to healthcare services annually to approximately two million eligible children, families, seniors, and people with disabilities, all with low and moderate incomes. In fiscal year 2024, MassHealth program expenditures totaled \$20.1 billion, of which approximately 39% was paid by the Commonwealth. Medicaid program expenditures represent approximately 33% of the Commonwealth's total annual budget.

Heightened concerns over the integrity of Medicaid expenditures were raised in January 2003, when the US Government Accountability Office (GAO) placed the US Medicaid program on its list of government programs that are at "high risk" of fraud, waste, abuse, and mismanagement. At that time, GAO estimated that between 3% and 10% of total healthcare costs were lost to fraudulent or abusive practices by unscrupulous healthcare providers. Based on these concerns, OSA began conducting audits of Medicaid-funded programs and, as part of its fiscal year 2007 budget proposal, submitted a request to establish a Medicaid Audit Unit within its Division of Audit Operations. This unit would be dedicated to detecting fraud, waste, and abuse in the MassHealth program. With the support of the Massachusetts Legislature and the Governor, this proposal was approved and has continued to be funded in subsequent budgets. Since that time, OSA has maintained ongoing independent oversight of the MassHealth program and its contracted service providers. Since 2007, audit reports issued by OSA have identified ways MassHealth can strengthen its controls to prevent and detect fraud, waste, abuse, and mismanagement in the Massachusetts Medicaid program, as well as improper claims for Medicaid services.

OSA uses data analytics in all audits conducted by the Unit. By doing so, our auditors can identify areas of high risk, isolate outlier providers, and in many cases perform reviews of 100% of the claims under audit, thus significantly improving the integrity, efficiency, and effectiveness of our audits. Moreover, in many cases, data analytics has enabled the Unit to fully quantify the financial effects of improper payments, whether they involve 1 claim or 10 million claims. The use of data analytics techniques has enabled the Unit to (1) identify greater cost recoveries and savings; (2) isolate weaknesses in claim-processing systems; and (3) make recommendations regarding MassHealth's system and program regulations to promote future cost savings, improve service delivery, and make government work better.

# COMPLETED AUDITS (MARCH 2, 2024 THROUGH FEBRUARY 28, 2025)

During this reporting period, the Office of the State Auditor (OSA) released three audit reports on MassHealth's compliance with state and federal laws, regulations, and other applicable authoritative guidance. These reports identified an estimated \$27,259,164 in potential cost savings and provided several recommendations to strengthen internal controls and oversight in MassHealth's program administration. The following is a summary of our Medicaid audit work between March 2024 and the end of February 2025.

## 1. Office of Medicaid (MassHealth)—Review of Capitation Payments<sup>1</sup> with Multiple Identification Numbers

Audit Number	2024-1374-3M1
Audit Period	January 1, 2019–December 31, 2022
Issue Date	December 31, 2024
Number of Findings	1
Number of Recommendations	3
Total Improper Billings	\$3,813,827 (estimated)
MassHealth Recouping Payments	N/A

#### **Background and Reason for Audit**

The purpose of this audit was to determine whether MassHealth ensured that it did not make capitation payments to managed care organizations (MCOs) on behalf of members who were assigned more than one member ID. OSA conducted the audit as part of our ongoing independent statutory oversight of the state's Medicaid program.

#### **Summary of Findings and Recommendations**

OSA reported one finding in this audit:

1. MassHealth made capitation payments on behalf of members with multiple IDs.

<sup>1.</sup> Capitation payments are set payments to a managed care organization from MassHealth to provide healthcare for its members. These payments are paid monthly for each MassHealth member enrolled in the managed care organization.

OSA's recommendations to MassHealth were as follows:

- 1. MassHealth should require that all members flagged by data matches submit documentation to confirm their identity. If the member does not provide documentation, then MassHealth should either pause the member's coverage or move the member to its fee-for-service model until it can determine whether the member's coverage should be terminated.
- 2. MassHealth should investigate and resolve all instances where its data matches indicate that a member has been assigned more than one member ID.
- 3. MassHealth should implement a match criterion that focuses solely on Social Security Numbers (SSNs). Because an SSN should be unique to each individual, a targeted match criterion that only includes an SSN would reduce the prevalence of multiple IDs by 19%, based on our sample testing.

#### **EOHHS's Comments**

Specifically regarding the three issues noted in the finding, MassHealth responded with the following:

Member IDs linked in [the Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS)]: The majority of identified overpayments (\$622,885 out of \$672,946) result from capitation payments made for 67 cases where [member IDs (MIDs)] were linked by MassHealth after the implementation of our enhanced [master data management (MDM)] identification process. MassHealth has reviewed and addressed or is in the process of addressing these resulting overpayments. MassHealth's use of MDM reports to identify and investigate potential cases of multiple MIDs in 2022 resulted in a significant number of cases being linked after the point in time when resulting duplicate capitation payments from 2019, 2020, and 2021 would have been recouped within MMIS. Overpayments made in 2019 and 2020 were not recoverable as MassHealth does not pursue overpayments nor account for underpayments made to managed care entities after the closure of its risk-sharing reconciliation process for that rate year. However, MassHealth was able to recoup [Office of the State Auditor (OSA)]-identified 2021 overpayments associated with linked members made to [accountable care organization] and MCO plans as part of its risksharing reconciliation process for rate year 2021. Finally, MassHealth will pursue recoupment for a small amount of 2022 capitation payments associated with three cases that were linked later in 2023 and 2024. Going forward, MassHealth's timely identification and review of any multiple MID cases will result in duplicate capitation payments to be collected within MMIS.

Member IDs not linked in MMIS but determined to be the same person: Based upon MassHealth's review of the OSA's findings, 7 cases that remained unlinked were due to MIDs being associated with an inactive benefit. MassHealth's review of duplicate MIDs is limited to cases where both MIDs are associated with active benefits that result in duplicate capitation payments. For these cases, by the time the MDM enhancements were implemented in 2022, at least one of the MIDs had an inactive benefit. MassHealth notes that these cases account for a smaller overpayment amount of \$31,918 over the course of the four-year audit period.

MassHealth unable to determine whether member IDs were for the same person: MassHealth respectfully disagrees with the OSA characterization of the 26 cases under this category. While MassHealth recognizes these cases as instances of multiple MIDs being associated with the same individual, MassHealth is unable to link these cases due to privacy concerns. In certain cases, particularly for children in the care and custody of the Department of Children and Families (DCF), it has been MassHealth's policy to not link certain MIDs. For example, in order to prevent the biological parent from having access to the new family's information, multiple IDs for adopted children are not linked without prior authorization from DCF. MassHealth recognizes that the policy not to link these members may result in duplicate capitation payments made to managed care entities. MassHealth notes that these cases reflected the smallest share of identified overpayments at \$18,143. While this policy affects a relatively small number of cases and associated capitation payments, MassHealth will explore new means of preventing and recouping these duplicate payments without risking the privacy of the associated members.

Specific to our recommendations, MassHealth responded with the following:

**Recommendation 1:** MassHealth will investigate the feasibility of establishing a process to request further documentation where MassHealth is unable to determine if two [member IDs (MIDs)] are associated with the same individual. MassHealth notes that the majority of cases can be determined via the information submitted through members' applications and external data sources. The cases where [the Office of the State Auditor (OSA)] determined the MIDs cannot be confirmed as the same person instead reflect a policy decision to not link members where there is a privacy concern as described above. MassHealth will explore an alternative course of action for this small subset members to prevent and recoup duplicate capitation payments made in these cases. . . .

**Recommendation 2:** MassHealth believes that it has established the system infrastructure as well as policies and procedures to comprehensively identify and investigate potential MID duplicates. As stated above, MassHealth's MDM program both prevents the creation of multiple MIDs for the same individual as well as produces routine reports that MassHealth uses to link members with more than one MID. In addition, these routine reports allow MassHealth to investigate cases in a timely manner that ensures any duplicate capitation payments are recouped. . . .

**Recommendation 3:** MassHealth disagrees with this recommendation. Because MassHealth may receive inaccurate social security numbers due to typographical errors, preventing the creation of an MID due to a matching social security number with no other matches across personal information may result in delayed enrollment for members and impact their access to care. However, in most cases, MassHealth's existing controls prevent the creation of multiple MIDs for an individual where the social security number matches. For those cases where multiple MIDs are created, MDM's regular reporting of potential duplicates allow MassHealth to link MIDs in time to ensure any duplicate capitation payments are ultimately recouped and prevented going forward.

### 2. Office of Medicaid (MassHealth)—Review of Payment for Telehealth Adult Day Health

Audit Number	2023-1374-3M1
Audit Period	January 1, 2020–December 31, 2021
Issue Date	October 1, 2024
Number of Findings	3
Number of Recommendations	7
Total Improper Billings	\$465,683
MassHealth Recouping Payments	N/A

#### **Background and Reason for Audit**

The purpose of this audit was to determine whether MassHealth monitored telehealth practices for ADH to ensure compliance with its regulations.

This audit was conducted as part of OSA's ongoing independent statutory oversight of the state's Medicaid program. Several of our previously issued audit reports disclosed weaknesses in MassHealth's claim processing system and improper billing practices by MassHealth providers, which identified millions of dollars in potentially improper payments. As with any government program, public confidence is essential to the success of and continued support for public expenditures, such as the state's Medicaid program. Our audit is designed to identify issues that will help improve the Medicaid program so that taxpayers know their dollars are spent prudently and that there is a system of continuous improvement of efficiency and service over time.

#### **Summary of Findings and Recommendations**

OSA reported three findings in this audit:

- 1. MassHealth paid providers for ADH that it did not authorize and/or that did not have supporting documentation.
- 2. MassHealth paid providers for transportation to ADH that it did not authorize and/or that did not have supporting documentation.
- 3. MassHealth paid providers \$11,797 for 109 claims for services allegedly rendered to 31 members who were proven to be deceased.

OSA's recommendations to MassHealth were as follows:

- 1. MassHealth should ensure that system edits to prevent payments for ADH without prior authorization are properly implemented.
- 2. MassHealth should investigate the paid claims identified by OSA and take corrective action as it deems appropriate. MassHealth should also investigate for improper documentation of ADH claims from providers that OSA did not review.
- 3. MassHealth should ensure that system edits to prevent payments for ADH without prior authorization are properly implemented.
- 4. MassHealth should investigate the paid claims identified by OSA and take corrective action as it deems appropriate. MassHealth should also investigate ADH claims from providers outside the five OSA reviewed for improper documentation.
- 5. MassHealth should establish monitoring controls to ensure that ADH claims are documented.
- 6. MassHealth should update its MMIS algorithms to cross-reference members' dates of death with additional data sources and not rely solely on the Department of Public Health's Vital Statistics file when verifying members' dates of death.
- 7. MassHealth should establish a plan to recoup the \$11,797 in overpayments made on behalf of deceased members.

#### **EOHHS's Comments**

Regarding Finding 1, MassHealth stated the following:

Regarding recommendation 1 . . . MassHealth delayed the planned activation of a system edit related to prior authorization that—prior to the pandemic—had been set to be activated on April 15, 2020. MassHealth made the decision to delay activation of the edit to avoid further disruption to the ADH program at a time when ADH providers had been forced to close and MassHealth was intently focused on finding ways to retain the existence of ADH providers and ensure the continued availability of ADH services. This decision was also premised on the understanding that any claims for ADH services that were paid absent a [prior authorization (PA)] could be recovered via post payment review, a process which has since occurred, and as noted in further detail below. Ultimately MassHealth activated the PA edit on September 1, 2021, after the height of the pandemic had receded. . . .

Regarding recommendation 2, MassHealth agrees with this recommendation. MassHealth will review the OSA's findings and recover any identified overpayments that are not already subject to ongoing MassHealth provider audits and recoveries. Specifically, and as noted above, MassHealth is finalizing a claim-based algorithm recovery for ADH services provided without a PA, which encompass the OSA's audit period and was previously in development as part of MassHealth's standard program integrity controls. Further, MassHealth will ensure that any findings from the OSA not captured in the existing recovery project will be validated and pursued through overpayment recoveries as appropriate.

MassHealth further requests, however, that in . . . the draft audit report, where it states "\$123,733 out of the \$262,685 (47%) in undocumented ADH claims were for retainer payments to ADH providers" that the auditor identify whether the identified retainer payment claims with no documentation were for dates that occurred in a week with no other documentation of a member contact, as it was permissible for ADH providers to claim multiple retainer payments in a given month so long as they had at least one contact with the member during each week in that month.

#### Regarding Finding 2, MassHealth stated the following:

Regarding recommendation [4], MassHealth agrees with this recommendation. MassHealth will review the OSA's findings and recover any identified overpayments that are not already subject to ongoing MassHealth provider investigations and recoveries. Of note, MassHealth is currently finalizing a claim-based algorithm recovery for ADH nonemergency transportation services provided without a PA for ADH services, which encompass the OSA's audit period and was previously in development as part of MassHealth's standard program integrity controls. Further, MassHealth will ensure that any findings from the OSA not captured in the existing recovery project will be validated and pursued through overpayment recoveries as appropriate.

Regarding recommendation [5], MassHealth agrees with this recommendation and is committed to ensuring ADH providers' compliance with documentation requirements. MassHealth works to ensure ADH provider compliance via training conducted during provider meetings, as well as robust program integrity controls. Such program integrity controls include a comprehensive set of pre-pay edits, a prior authorization process that ensures services rendered are clinically appropriate, postpayment claims recoveries, and regularly scheduled audits of providers. Specifically, MassHealth regularly initiates 1 to 2 ADH provider audits per month which may result in the issuance of corrective actions and overpayments for improper documentation. Since January 1st, 2022, MassHealth has initiated 36 audits of ADH providers and has issued 20 initial notices of overpayment which detail the agency's overpayment and sanction findings.

#### Regarding Finding 3, MassHealth stated the following:

MassHealth agrees with these recommendations. MassHealth has robust program integrity controls in place to prevent payments after a member's date of death. However, due to issues often beyond MassHealth's control (e.g., inaccurate sources of death data and time lags in access to death data), it is possible for such payments to occur. As a result, MassHealth also has robust controls in place to identify and recover such claims post-payment.

Regarding recommendation [6], MassHealth has adjusted its program integrity processes to improve the identification and recovery of inappropriately paid claims for dates of service after a member's date of death. Historically, it had been MassHealth's process to use Department of Public Health (DPH) Vital Statistics data to identify claims that were incorrectly paid for dates [of] service after a member's date of death; only members matching on the DPH Vital Statistics file would be included in any findings. MassHealth must balance the risk of missing potential overpayments with the risk of relying on less reliable sources of death data in pursuing recoupments of potential overpayments. In light of the OSA's recommendation, as of January

2024, MassHealth has updated its program integrity efforts to no longer exclude members from post-death algorithms when members do not appear in the DPH Vital Statistics file.

Regarding recommendation [7], MassHealth agrees with this recommendation. MassHealth will review the claims identified by the OSA and carry out the recovery process as appropriate. MassHealth notes that 28 of the 109 claims have already been identified and included in initial notices of overpayment issued to the associated providers. MassHealth will ensure that all remaining overpayments identified are validated and recovered as appropriate.

### 3. Office of Medicaid (MassHealth)—Review of Payment for Telehealth Adult Foster Care and Group Adult Foster Care

Audit Number	2023-1374-3M2
Audit Period	January 1, 2020–December 31, 2021
Issue Date	September 4, 2024
Number of Findings	3
Number of Recommendations	3
Total Improper Billings	\$22,979,654
MassHealth Recouping Payments	N/A

#### **Background and Reason for Audit**

The purpose of this audit was to determine whether MassHealth monitored telehealth practices for AFC and GAFC services to ensure compliance with its regulations.

The audit was conducted as part of OSA's ongoing independent statutory oversight of the state's Medicaid program. Several of our previously issued audit reports disclosed weaknesses in MassHealth's claim processing system and improper billing practices by MassHealth providers, which identified millions of dollars in potentially improper payments. As with any government program, public confidence is essential to the success and continued support for public expenditures, such as the state's Medicaid program. Our audit is designed to identify issues that will help improve the Medicaid program so that taxpayers know that their dollars are spent prudently and that there is a system of continuous improvement to support improved efficiency and service over time.

#### **Summary of Finding and Recommendation**

OSA reported three findings in this audit:

1. MassHealth did not ensure that AFC and GAFC registered nurses / licensed practical nurses and care managers conducted required oversight visits.

- 2. MassHealth paid AFC and GAFC providers for services that did not have supporting caregiver / direct care aide log documentation.
- 3. MassHealth paid for AFC and GAFC caregiver / direct care aide services that were incorrectly coded as telehealth.

OSA's recommendations to MassHealth were as follows:

- MassHealth should establish effective monitoring controls to ensure that AFC and GAFC providers
  conduct the required oversight visits for MassHealth members and caregivers / direct care aides. To
  establish effective monitoring controls, we believe MassHealth should establish a goal for the
  number of AFC / GAFC providers it will audit each year. MassHealth should investigate and resolve all
  instances where its data matches indicate that a member is enrolled in another state's Medicaid
  program.
- 2. MassHealth should establish an effective monitoring process to ensure that caregivers / direct care aides of AFC and GAFC providers properly document care in their logs.
- 3. MassHealth should add a system control in the Medicaid Management Information System to deny AFC and GAFC caregiver / direct care aide services in a telehealth setting.

#### **EOHHS's Comments**

Regarding Finding 1, MassHealth stated the following:

MassHealth agrees with this recommendation. MassHealth is committed to ensuring AFC and GAFC providers' compliance with federal and state requirements through clear and frequent outreach to the provider network via periodic trainings and provider meetings, as well as robust program integrity controls. Such program integrity controls include a comprehensive set of prepay edits, a prior authorization process that ensures services rendered are clinically appropriate, post-payment claims recoveries, and regularly scheduled audits of providers.

Program integrity initiatives developed and implemented since the period covered under this audit include instituting a temporary moratorium on new AFC providers from March 10, 2023, through September 10, 2023. The purpose of the temporary moratorium was to allow MassHealth to focus on enhanced training and education of existing AFC providers and the development of a more robust onboarding process for new AFC providers. Following the end of the moratorium, and in addition to instituting a more robust onboarding process, MassHealth schedules audits of every new AFC and GAFC provider within six months of their enrollment.

Additionally, to monitor compliance, and as part of MassHealth's overall program integrity strategy, MassHealth initiates audits of 2 to 3 AFC and GAFC providers each month (approximately 24-36 audits per year). As part of these audits, MassHealth auditors review documentation submitted by providers to ensure [registered nurse / licensed practical nurse] and care manager visits are documented and occur within the timeframes required by the provider regulations. Where MassHealth identifies instances of non-compliance, MassHealth issues overpayments and sanctions as appropriate.

From 2022 to present, MassHealth has initiated 92 audits of AFC and GAFC providers (approximately 3 audits per month) and has issued 49 initial notices of overpayment and sanction, which outline MassHealth's audit findings and initiates the recovery process for identified overpayments and sanctions.

Regarding Finding 2, MassHealth stated the following:

MassHealth agrees with this recommendation. As discussed above, MassHealth actively engages in provider education and program integrity activities to promote and monitor AFC and GAFC compliance with provider requirements. This includes the provision of provider education on requirements to train caregivers and direct care aides on care log documentation requirements, as well as provider audits to monitor compliance with program requirements, which includes review of caregiver / direct care aide logs.

As noted in response to Finding 1, above, from 2022 to present, MassHealth has initiated 92 audits of AFC and GAFC providers (approximately 3 audits per month) and has issued 49 initial notices of overpayment and sanction, which outline MassHealth's audit findings and initiates the recovery process for identified overpayments and sanctions.

Regarding Finding 3, MassHealth stated the following:

MassHealth agrees with this recommendation. MassHealth will implement a system edit to prevent AFC and GAFC providers from submitting claims for AFC / GAFC services when coded as telehealth.

As noted above, because personal care is a type of care that cannot be delivered via telehealth, AFC and GAFC providers were not—and are not—permitted to use telehealth for the delivery of personal care. MassHealth appreciates that the [Office of the State Auditor] draft report acknowledges that the identified claims were billed as telehealth in error and that AFC / GAFC services were in fact rendered in person and based on the auditor's review of provider documentation. MassHealth further notes this error appears to be concentrated to a small percentage of providers within the network with only 5 out of 268 providers having billed claims coded as telehealth. As noted above, MassHealth agrees with the recommendation and will implement an edit to prevent the ability for AFC / GAFC claims to be submitted when coded as telehealth.

#### **CURRENT INITIATIVES**

During this reporting period, the Office of the State Auditor (OSA) began or continued work on seven audits of MassHealth's administration of the Medicaid program and Medicaid service providers' compliance with state and federal laws, regulations, and other authoritative guidance. These audits were selected based on OSA's research, and we are using data analytics to identify areas of risk in the state's Medicaid program. Based on preliminary analysis and previous audit results, we anticipate that these audits will continue to identify improper payments and areas for improvement in MassHealth's administration of program services. Where applicable, the audits will include recommendations to strengthen internal controls and oversight in MassHealth's program administration. The following is a summary of our Medicaid audit work in process.

- OSA is conducting a review of MassHealth claims submitted by providers for durable medical equipment (DME) for the audit period July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2023. This audit will determine whether DME was ordered or prescribed to members by providers who are excluded from participating in the Medicaid program and whether MassHealth paid for DME prescribed to members who are deceased.
- OSA is conducting a review of MassHealth claims submitted by Dental Arts for the period July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2023. This audit will determine whether dental services provided to MassHealth members were properly supported by documentation and were allowable in accordance with certain MassHealth regulations.
- OSA is conducting a review of MassHealth claims submitted for non-emergency transportation for the period July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2023. This audit will determine whether MassHealth's non-emergency transportation broker process provides equal opportunity to contracted providers throughout the Commonwealth, whether non-emergency transportation claims were properly authorized, and whether transportation drivers and attendants consistently had Criminal Offender Record Information checks.
- OSA is conducting a review of MassHealth reimbursements for inpatient maternity services
  provided by University of Massachusetts Memorial Healthcare (UMMH) during the period July 1,
  2020 through June 30, 2023. This audit will determine whether UMMH properly billed
  MassHealth for maternity care for its members, whether UMMH reported births occurring in its
  hospitals to the Department of Public Health, and whether UMMH properly used grant funds
  from EOHHS and the Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency.