

NOTICE: While reasonable efforts have been made to assure the accuracy of the data herein, this is **NOT** the official version of Senate Journal. It is published to provide information in a timely manner, but has **NOT** been proofread against the events of the session for this day. All information obtained from this source should be checked against a proofed copy of the Senate Journal.

UNCORRECTED PROOF OF THE JOURNAL OF THE SENATE.



JOURNAL OF THE SENATE.

Tuesday, July 12, 2016.

Met at five minutes past eleven o'clock A.M. (Ms. Chandler in the Chair).

The Chair (Ms. Chandler), members, guests and staff then recited the pledge of allegiance to the flag.

Reports of a Committee.

By Mr. Timilty, for the committee on Public Service, on the recommitted petition, a Bill relative to retirement benefits for Mary Donna Nodurf (Senate, No. 2326);

Read and, under Senate Rule 27, referred to the committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. Timilty, for the committee on Public Service, on the recommitted petition, a Bill authorizing the town of Southwick to continue the employment of police chief David Ricardi (Senate, No. 2189) [Local approval received];

Read and, under Senate Rule 26, placed in the Orders of the Day for the next session.

PAPERS FROM THE HOUSE.

Petitions were severally referred, in concurrence, as follows:

Petition (accompanied by bill, House, No. 4507) of Alice Hanlon Peisch and Michael J. Barrett (by vote of the town) that the town of Weston be authorized to convey a certain parcel of town-owned land for recreational purposes;

Petition (accompanied by bill, House, No. 4508) of Alice Hanlon Peisch and Michael J. Barrett (by vote of the town) that the town of Weston be authorized to convey two parcels of land from the recreation commission to the conservation commission for conservation purposes;

Severally, to the committee on Municipalities and Regional Government.

A Bill establishing a sick leave bank for Christopher Mullen, an employee of the Hampshire Sheriff's Office (House, No. 4292,-- on petition),-- **was read and, under Senate Rule 27, referred to the committee on Ways and Means.**

Bills

Providing for recall elections in the town of East Bridgewater (printed as Senate, No. 1953, amended,-- on petition) [Local approval received]; and

Relative to the charter of the town of Plymouth (House, No. 3968,-- on petition) [Local approval received];

Were severally read and, under Senate Rule 26, placed in the Orders of the Day for the next session.

Report of a Committee.

The following report was laid before the Senate, the time within which the said committee was required to report having expired:---

Of the committee on Public Service, ought NOT to pass (under Joint Rule 10), on the petition (accompanied by bill, Senate, No.

2326) of Eric P. Lesser and Brian M. Ashe for legislation relative to retirement benefits for Mary Donna Nodurf. On motion of Mr. deMacedo, the bill was recommitted to the Joint Committee on Public Service.

Recess.

There being no objection, at six minutes past eleven o'clock A.M., the Chair (Ms. Chandler) declared a recess subject to the call of the Chair; and, at four minutes past three o'clock P.M., the Senate reassembled, the President in the Chair.

Report of a Committee.

By Ms. Spilka, for the committee on Ways and Means, that the House Bill relative to job creation, workforce development and infrastructure investment (House, No. 4483) (the committee on Bonding, Capital Expenditures and State Assets having recommended that the bill be amended by substituting a new text (Senate, No. 2422),-- **ought to pass, recommending that the Bonding, Capital Expenditures and State Assets amendment be adopted with an amendment striking out all after the enacting clause and inserting in place thereof the text of Senate document numbered 2423.**

Order Adopted.

Ms. Spilka offered the following order, to wit:

Ordered, That notwithstanding Senate Rule 7 or any other rule to the contrary, the House Bill relative to job creation, workforce development and infrastructure investment (House, No. 4483) (the committee on Bonding, Capital Expenditures and State Assets recommending that the bill be amended by striking out all after the enacting clause and inserting in place thereof the text of Senate document numbered 2422; and the committee on Ways and Means having recommended that the bill be amended by striking out all after the enacting clause and inserting in place thereof the text of Senate document numbered 2423) shall be placed in the Orders of the Day for a second reading on Thursday, July 14, 2016.

All amendments shall be filed electronically in the office of the Clerk of the Senate by 2:00 P.M., on Wednesday, July 13, 2016. All such amendments shall be second-reading amendments to the Senate Ways and Means new text (Senate, No. 2423), but further amendments in the third degree to such amendments shall be in order. The Clerk shall further specify the procedure and format for filing all amendments, consistent with this order.

After the bill as amended is ordered to a third reading, it shall immediately be read a third time and the question shall then immediately be on passing it to be engrossed, and no amendments shall be in order at the third reading of the bill unless recommended by the committee on Bills in the Third Reading.

Under the rules, referred to the committee on Rules.

Subsequently, Mr. Montigny, for the said committee, reported, recommending that the order ought to be adopted.

The rules were suspended, on motion of Ms. Donoghue, and the order was considered forthwith and adopted.

The bill will be placed in the Orders of the Day for Thursday, July 14, for a second reading with the amendment pending.

Orders of the Day.

The Orders of the Day were considered as follows:

Bills

Relative to the charter of the city of Chelsea (House, No. 3569, amended); and

Relative to certain parcels of land in the town of Wakefield (House, No. 3629).

Were severally read a second time and ordered to a third reading.

The Senate Bill relative to solar drying of laundry (Senate, No. 1056),-- was read a second time.

Pending the question on ordering the bill to a third reading, Mr. Barrett moved that the bill be amended by substituting a new draft with the same title (Senate, No. 2408).

After remarks, the amendment was **adopted**.

The bill (Senate, No. 1056) was then ordered to a third reading, read a third time and passed to be engrossed.

Sent to the House for concurrence.

The Senate Bill relative to family financial protection (Senate, No. 2230),-- **was read a second time.**

After remarks, and pending the amendment, previously recommended by the committee on Ways and Means (substituting a new draft with the same title, Senate, No. 2409), and pending the main question on ordering the bill to a third reading, Mr. Tarr moved, under the provisions of Senate Rule 31, to print the amendments offered to the Ways and Means new draft. The motion was seconded by Mr. OConnor.

The further consideration of the bill was laid over, under the provisions of Senate Rule 31, to the next session.

Ms. Chandler in the Chair, there being no objection, the following matter was taken out of order and considered, as follows:

The Senate Bill regulating the use of credit card reports by employers (Senate, No. 2271),-- **was considered.**

After remarks, pending the amendment, previously recommended by the committee on Ways and Means, and pending the main question on ordering the bill to a third reading, Ms. O'Connor Ives moved that the proposed new draft be amended in section 2, by striking out, in line 32, the word "significant"; and by striking out, in lines 32 and 33, inclusive, the words "and involves" and inserting in place thereof the following words:- "that includes".

After remarks, the amendment was **adopted.**

Messrs. Rodrigues, Tarr and O'Connor moved to amend the proposed new draft in section 2, in proposed section 51B of chapter 93 of the General Laws by striking out the definition of "employment purposes" and inserting in place thereof the following 2 definitions:-

"'Credit report', a communication of information by a consumer reporting agency or information contained within a communication, written, oral or otherwise, bearing on a consumer's credit worthiness, credit standing or credit capacity; provided, however, that a "credit report" shall include, but not be limited to, the following information: (i) a credit score or other numerical quantification of a person's creditworthiness; or (ii) other information related to: (A) a consumer loan; (B) credit card debt; (C) an education loan; (D) medical debt; (E) a savings account; (F) a credit account; (G) a checking account; (H) past borrowing and repaying behavior including, but not limited to, paying bills on time and the management, accumulation, payment or ability to repay; or discharge of a debt or other financial obligation.

'Employment purposes', when used in connection with a credit report, a purpose related to the evaluation of a consumer for employment, promotion, reassignment or retention, termination, demotion, discipline, compensation or the terms, conditions or privileges of employment."; and

In said section 2, by striking out, in lines 17, 18, 19, 22, 25, 36, 39, 42, 44, 53 and in line 57, the first time it appears, the word "consumer" and inserting in place thereof, in each instance, the following word:- "credit".

After remarks, the question on adoption of the amendment was determined by a call of the yeas and nays, at one minute before four o'clock P.M., on motion of Mr. Tarr, as follows, to wit (*yeas 39 – nays 0*) [**Yeas and Nays No. 394**]:

YEAS.

Barrett, Michael J.

Keenan, John F.

Boncore, Joseph A.

Lesser, Eric P.

Brady, Michael D.

Lewis, Jason M.

Brownsberger, William N.

L'Italien, Barbara A.

Chandler, Harriette L.

Lovely, Joan B.

Chang-Diaz, Sonia

McGee, Thomas M.

Creem, Cynthia Stone

Montigny, Mark C.

deMacedo, Viriato M.

Moore, Michael O.

DiDomenico, Sal N.

O'Connor Ives, Kathleen

Donnelly, Kenneth J.

O'Connor, Patrick M.

Donoghue, Eileen M.

Pacheco, Marc R.

Downing, Benjamin B.

Rodrigues, Michael J.

Eldridge, James B.

Ross, Richard J.

Fattman, Ryan C.

Rush, Michael F.

Flanagan, Jennifer L.

Spilka, Karen E.

Forry, Linda Dorcena

Tarr, Bruce E.

Gobi, Anne M.

Timilty, James E.

Humason, Donald F., Jr.

Welch, James T.

Jehlen, Patricia D.

Wolf, Daniel A. – **39.**

Joyce, Brian A.

NAYS – 0.

The yeas and nays having been completed at two minutes past four o'clock P.M., the amendment was **adopted.**

Mr. Tarr moved that the proposed new text be amended by striking in line 33 the number "\$10,000" and inserting in place thereof the following: "\$6,000"; and in line 35 by striking the number "\$10,000" and inserting in place thereof the following: "\$6,000". After remarks, the question on adoption of the amendment was determined by a call of the yeas and nays, at five minutes past four o'clock P.M., on motion of Mr. Tarr, as follows, to wit (*yeas 38 – nays 0*) [**Yeas and Nays No. 395**]:

YEAS.

Barrett, Michael J.

Joyce, Brian A.

Boncore, Joseph A.

Keenan, John F.

Brady, Michael D.

Lesser, Eric P.

Brownsberger, William N.

Lewis, Jason M.

Chandler, Harriette L.

L'Italien, Barbara A.

Chang-Diaz, Sonia

Lovely, Joan B.

Creem, Cynthia Stone

McGee, Thomas M.

deMacedo, Viriato M.

Montigny, Mark C.

DiDomenico, Sal N.

Moore, Michael O.

Donnelly, Kenneth J.

O'Connor Ives, Kathleen

Donoghue, Eileen M.	OConnor, Patrick M.
Downing, Benjamin B.	Pacheco, Marc R.
Eldridge, James B.	Rodrigues, Michael J.
Fattman, Ryan C.	Ross, Richard J.
Flanagan, Jennifer L.	Rush, Michael F.
Forry, Linda Dorcena	Spilka, Karen E.
Gobi, Anne M.	Tarr, Bruce E.
Humason, Donald F., Jr.	Timilty, James E.
Jehlen, Patricia D.	Welch, James T. – 38.

NAYS – 0.

ANSWERED “PRESENT”.

Wolf, Daniel A. (*present*) – **1.**

The yeas and nays having been completed at eight minutes past four o’clock P.M., the amendment was **adopted.**

Mr. Tarr moved to amend the bill by inserting after line 35 the following:-

“(v) the position involves access to personal financial information or direct access to financial accounts.”

After debate, the question on adoption of the amendment was determined by a call of the yeas and nays, at fourteen minutes past four o’clock P.M., on motion of Mr. Tarr, as follows, to wit (*yeas 14 – nays 24*) [**Yeas and Nays No. 396**]:

YEAS.

Brownsberger, William N.	O'Connor Ives, Kathleen
deMacedo, Viriato M.	OConnor, Patrick M.
Fattman, Ryan C.	Pacheco, Marc R.
Flanagan, Jennifer L.	Ross, Richard J.
Gobi, Anne M.	Rush, Michael F.
Humason, Donald F., Jr.	Tarr, Bruce E.
Moore, Michael O.	Timilty, James E. – 14.

NAYS.

Barrett, Michael J.	Jehlen, Patricia D.
Boncore, Joseph A.	Joyce, Brian A.
Brady, Michael D.	Keenan, John F.
Chandler, Harriette L.	Lesser, Eric P.
Chang-Diaz, Sonia	Lewis, Jason M.
Creem, Cynthia Stone	L'Italien, Barbara A.
DiDomenico, Sal N.	Lovely, Joan B.
Donnelly, Kenneth J.	McGee, Thomas M.
Donoghue, Eileen M.	Montigny, Mark C.
Downing, Benjamin B.	Rodrigues, Michael J.
Eldridge, James B.	Spilka, Karen E.
Forry, Linda Dorcena	Welch, James T. – 24.

ANSWERED “PRESENT”.

Wolf, Daniel A. (*present*) – **1.**

The yeas and nays having been completed at seventeen minutes past four o'clock P.M., the amendment was *rejected*.

The Ways and Means amendment, as amended, was then adopted.

The bill (Senate, No. 2394, amended) was then ordered to a third reading and read a third time.

The question on passing the bill to be engrossed was determined by a call of the yeas and nays at nineteen minutes past four o'clock P.M., on motion of Mr. Barrett, as follows, to wit (*yeas 39 – nays 0*) [**Yeas and Nays No. 397**]:

YEAS.

Barrett, Michael J.	Keenan, John F.
Boncore, Joseph A.	Lesser, Eric P.
Brady, Michael D.	Lewis, Jason M.
Brownsberger, William N.	L'Italien, Barbara A.

Chandler, Harriette L.	Lovely, Joan B.
Chang-Diaz, Sonia	McGee, Thomas M.
Creem, Cynthia Stone	Montigny, Mark C.
deMacedo, Viriato M.	Moore, Michael O.
DiDomenico, Sal N.	O'Connor Ives, Kathleen
Donnelly, Kenneth J.	OConnor, Patrick M.
Donoghue, Eileen M.	Pacheco, Marc R.
Downing, Benjamin B.	Rodrigues, Michael J.
Eldridge, James B.	Ross, Richard J.
Fattman, Ryan C.	Rush, Michael F.
Flanagan, Jennifer L.	Spilka, Karen E.
Forry, Linda Dorcena	Tarr, Bruce E.
Gobi, Anne M.	Timilty, James E.
Humason, Donald F., Jr.	Welch, James T.
Jehlen, Patricia D.	Wolf, Daniel A. – 39.
Joyce, Brian A.	

NAYS – 0.

**The President in the Chair, the yeas and nays having been completed at twenty-one minutes past four o'clock P.M., the bill was passed to be engrossed [For bill, printed as amended, see Senate, No. 2425].
Sent to the House for concurrence.**

The Senate Bill promoting transparency, best practices, and better outcomes for children and communities (Senate, No. 2417),--
was read a second time.

After remarks, and pending the question on ordering the bill to a third reading, Mr, Brownsberger moved that the bill be amended in section 9, by striking out proposed section 87 of chapter 119;

By striking out section 10;

In section 12, by striking out, in line 102, the words “be compelled to”; and

In said section 12, by striking out, in line 107, the words “and decision making”;

By striking out section 13 and inserting in place thereof the following section:-

“SECTION 13. Section 53 of chapter 272 of the General Laws, as so appearing, is hereby amended by inserting after the figure ‘\$150’, in line 10, the following words:- ‘; provided, however, notwithstanding any general or special law to the contrary, no person who violates this subsection shall have a finding of delinquency entered against that person for a first offense.’.”;

In section 14, by striking out subsection (b) of proposed section 100E, and inserting in place thereof the following subsection:-
“(b) Notwithstanding section 100A or any other general or special law to the contrary, a person of any age who has a record of juvenile or criminal court appearances and dispositions on file with the office of the commissioner of probation may have misdemeanor convictions or adjudications expunged from that person’s criminal and court records if the offense was committed before the person turned 18 years of age and upon the filing of a petition with a judge in the court in which the appearance or disposition occurred. The form of the petition shall be furnished by the commissioner of probation. Before a petition is filed, the person shall have: (i) completed a sentence or disposition imposed by the court or, where applicable, a period of commitment or probation imposed pursuant to section 58 of chapter 119; (ii) not been adjudicated delinquent or found guilty of any new criminal offense in the commonwealth before the completion of that person’s juvenile sentence; and (iii) not been adjudicated delinquent or found guilty of any new criminal offense in the commonwealth since the completion of a sentence or disposition imposed by the court or, where applicable, a period of commitment or probation imposed pursuant to said section 58 of said chapter 119. The court may, in the discretion of the court, upon motion of that person, expunge the appearance or disposition recorded for a misdemeanor conviction or adjudication if the offense was committed before the person turned 18 years of age.

For any petition granted by the court under this section, the clerks and probation officers of the courts in which the proceedings occurred or were initiated shall expunge the records of the proceedings in their files.

The court shall, at the time of imposing any sentence, disposition or period of commitment or probation pursuant to said section 58 of said chapter 119, inform, in writing, all eligible individuals of their right to seek expungement under this section.”; and by adding the following section:-

“SECTION 17. The trial court departments, including the juvenile court department, shall assess the feasibility and utility of and make recommendations concerning the creation of youth status as a distinct mitigating factor in any proceeding against a juvenile.

As part of the assessment, the courts shall consider:

- (i) the procedure for obtaining a finding of youth status in a given proceeding, including the type, if any, of presumption that should be made with respect to youth status as a distinct mitigating factor in any proceeding against a juvenile and the burden required to overcome any such presumption;
 - (ii) the issues that a finding of youth status should mitigate including, but not limited to, intent, knowledge, premeditation and purpose or the reasonableness of a juvenile’s belief that the juvenile faced an imminent threat of death or serious bodily injury or the reasonableness of the juvenile’s perception of the amount of force that was necessary to combat the perceived threat; and
 - (iii) the sentencing modification that should attach in proceedings where youth status has been found to be a mitigating factor.
- The trial court departments shall collaborate to prepare a report which shall include their findings and recommendations and drafts of legislation necessary to implement those recommendations. The report shall be submitted to the clerks of the senate and house of representatives not later than August 1, 2017 and the clerks shall forward the report to the house and senate chairs of the joint committee on the judiciary.”

After remarks, the question on adoption of the amendment was determined by a call of the yeas and nays, at twenty-eight minutes before six o’clock P.M., on motion of Mr. Tarr, as follows, to wit (*yeas 39 – nays 0*) **[Yeas and Nays No. 398]:**

YEAS.

Barrett, Michael J.	Keenan, John F.
Boncore, Joseph A.	Lesser, Eric P.
Brady, Michael D.	Lewis, Jason M.
Brownsberger, William N.	L’Italien, Barbara A.
Chandler, Harriette L.	Lovely, Joan B.
Chang-Diaz, Sonia	McGee, Thomas M.
Creem, Cynthia Stone	Montigny, Mark C.
deMacedo, Viriato M.	Moore, Michael O.

DiDomenico, Sal N.	O'Connor Ives, Kathleen
Donnelly, Kenneth J.	O'Connor, Patrick M.
Donoghue, Eileen M.	Pacheco, Marc R.
Downing, Benjamin B.	Rodrigues, Michael J.
Eldridge, James B.	Ross, Richard J.
Fattman, Ryan C.	Rush, Michael F.
Flanagan, Jennifer L.	Spilka, Karen E.
Forry, Linda Dorcena	Tarr, Bruce E.
Gobi, Anne M.	Timilty, James E.
Humason, Donald F., Jr.	Welch, James T.
Jehlen, Patricia D.	Wolf, Daniel A. – 39.
Joyce, Brian A.	

NAYS – 0.

The yeas and nays having been completed at twenty-four minutes before six o'clock P.M., the amendment was **adopted**.

Ms. Chang-Diaz and Mr. Eldridge moved that the bill be amended by inserting after Section 16 the following new section:-
 “SECTION XX. Chapter 120 of the General Laws, as so appearing, is hereby amended after Section 10 by inserting the following new section:-

Section 10B. Use of involuntary room confinement for juveniles in facilities, institutions and agencies.

(a) No person under 18 years of age committed to the department may be placed in involuntary room confinement as a consequence for non-compliance, punishment, harassment, or in retaliation for any conduct.”

After remarks, the amendment was **adopted**.

Ms. Jehlen moved that the bill be amended by inserting the following section:-

“SECTION XX. Section 37P of chapter 71 of the General Laws, as appearing in the 2014 Official Edition, is hereby amended by striking, in subsection (b), the second paragraph and inserting in place thereof the following:-

In selecting a school resource officer (SRO), the chief of police shall assign candidates that the chief believes would strive to foster an optimal learning environment and educational community; provided further, that the chief of police shall give preference to candidates who have received specialized training in child and adolescent development, de-escalation and conflict resolution techniques with children and adolescents, behavioral health disorders in children and adolescents, alternatives to arrest and other juvenile justice diversion strategies and behavioral threat assessment methods. The appointment shall not be based solely on seniority. The performance of school resource officers shall be reviewed annually by the superintendent and the chief of police. The superintendent and the chief of police shall enter into a written memorandum of understanding (MOU) to clearly define the role and duties of the school resource officer which shall be placed on file in the office of the school superintendent and police chief. The MOU shall: (1) state that SROs may use traditional policing techniques such as arrest, citation, and court referral only when necessary to address and prevent serious, real and immediate threats to the physical safety of the school and the wider community; (2) state that SROs should not become involved in routine discipline in response to non-violent school infractions such as tardiness, loitering, use of profanity, dress code violations and disruptive or disrespectful behaviors; (3) set forth protocols for utilizing the expertise of mental health professionals in addressing the needs of students with behavioral and

emotional difficulties in crisis situations and otherwise; (4) require SROs to devote any professional development time to school-based or other training activities that promote heightened awareness of the various challenges faced by students in the school to which they are assigned, with an emphasis on those that impart information regarding child development, including the incidence and impact of adverse childhood experiences, de-escalation techniques, and implicit or unconscious bias; (5) specify how the school and police departments will regularly monitor and assure that SROs are complying with the terms of the MOU and avoiding inappropriate arrest, citation, or court referral; and (6) specify the manner of and division of responsibility for collecting and reporting all school-based arrests, citations, and court referrals of students to the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education in accordance with regulations promulgated by DESE, which shall collect and publish disaggregated data in a like manner as school discipline data made available for public review.”

After debate, the question on adoption of the amendment was determined by a call of the yeas and nays, at six minutes before six o'clock P.M., on motion of Mr. Tarr, as follows, to wit (*yeas 26 – nays 13*) [**Yeas and Nays No. 399**]:

YEAS.

Barrett, Michael J.	Joyce, Brian A.
Boncore, Joseph A.	Keenan, John F.
Brady, Michael D.	Lesser, Eric P.
Brownsberger, William N.	Lewis, Jason M.
Chandler, Harriette L.	L'Italien, Barbara A.
Chang-Diaz, Sonia	Lovely, Joan B.
Creem, Cynthia Stone	McGee, Thomas M.
DiDomenico, Sal N.	Montigny, Mark C.
Donnelly, Kenneth J.	Moore, Michael O.
Downing, Benjamin B.	Pacheco, Marc R.
Eldridge, James B.	Rodrigues, Michael J.
Forry, Linda Dorcena	Spilka, Karen E.
Jehlen, Patricia D.	Wolf, Daniel A. – 26.

NAYS.

deMacedo, Viriato M.	OConnor, Patrick M.
Donoghue, Eileen M.	Ross, Richard J.
Fattman, Ryan C.	Rush, Michael F.

Flanagan, Jennifer L.

Tarr, Bruce E.

Gobi, Anne M.

Timilty, James E.

Humason, Donald F., Jr.

Welch, James T. – **13.**

O'Connor Ives, Kathleen

The yeas and nays having been completed at three minutes before six o'clock P.M., the amendment was **adopted**.

Mr. Tarr moved that the bill be amended by striking sections 4,5,6,7, and 8 in their entirety.

After remarks, the amendment was rejected.

Ms. Spilka moved that the bill be amended in section 9, by inserting after the word "and", in line 43, the following words:- "any restraints";

In section 11, by inserting after the word "and", in line 96, the following words:- "shall have"; and

In section 16, by inserting after the word "Children", in line 165, the following words:- "; the executive director of the Children's League of Massachusetts; the executive director to the Massachusetts District Attorneys Association; a representative of the Massachusetts Chiefs of Police Association".

The amendment was **adopted**.

The Ways and Means amendment, as amended, was then adopted.

The bill (Senate, No. 2417, amended) was then ordered to a third reading and read a third time.

The question on passing the bill to be engrossed was determined by a call of the yeas and nays at ten minutes past six o'clock P.M., on motion of Mr. Tarr, as follows, to wit (*yeas 31 – nays 9*) [**Yeas and Nays No. 400**]:

YEAS.

Barrett, Michael J.

Joyce, Brian A.

Boncore, Joseph A.

Keenan, John F.

Brady, Michael D.

Lesser, Eric P.

Brownsberger, William N.

Lewis, Jason M.

Chandler, Harriette L.

L'Italien, Barbara A.

Chang-Diaz, Sonia

Lovely, Joan B.

Creem, Cynthia Stone

McGee, Thomas M.

DiDomenico, Sal N.

Montigny, Mark C.

Donnelly, Kenneth J.

Moore, Michael O.

Donoghue, Eileen M.

Pacheco, Marc R.

Downing, Benjamin B.

Rodrigues, Michael J.

Eldridge, James B.

Rosenberg, Stanley C.

Flanagan, Jennifer L.

Spilka, Karen E.

Forry, Linda Dorcena

Welch, James T.

Gobi, Anne M.

Wolf, Daniel A. – **31.**

Jehlen, Patricia D.

NAYS.

deMacedo, Viriato M.

Ross, Richard J.

Fattman, Ryan C.

Rush, Michael F.

Humason, Donald F., Jr.

Tarr, Bruce E.

O'Connor Ives, Kathleen

Timilty, James E. – **9.**

O'Connor, Patrick M.

**The yeas and nays having been completed at twelve minutes past six o'clock P.M., the bill was passed to be engrossed [For bill, printed as amended, see Senate, No. 2424].
Sent to the House for concurrence.**

PAPERS FROM THE HOUSE

Orders.

The following House Orders (severally approved by the committees on Rules of the two branches, acting concurrently) were considered forthwith as follows:

Ordered, that notwithstanding the provisions of Joint Rule 10, the committee on Financial Services be granted until Wednesday, July 27, 2016, within which time to make its final report on current Senate document numbered 551, and House documents numbered 866, 891, 958, 3488, and 4148.

The rules were suspended, on motion of Mr. Eldridge, and, after remarks, the order (House, No. 4471) was considered forthwith; and was adopted, in concurrence.

Ordered, that notwithstanding the provisions of Joint Rule 10, the committee on State Administration and Regulatory Oversight be granted until Friday, July 15, 2016, within which time to make its final report on current House documents numbered 4130, 4294, 4388, and 4390.

The rules were suspended, on motion of Ms. Lovely, and the order (House, No. 4456) was considered forthwith.

Pending the question on adoption of the order, Ms. Lovely presented an amendment striking in line 3 the following:- "4130".

The amendment was **adopted**.

The order (House, No. 4456), as amended, was then adopted.

Sent to the House for concurrence in the amendment.

Order Adopted.

On motion of Mr. Tarr,--

Ordered, That when the Senate adjourns today, it adjourn to meet again tomorrow at eleven o'clock A.M., in a full formal session with a calendar.

On motion of the Mr. Humason, at twenty minutes past six o'clock P.M., the Senate adjourned to meet again tomorrow at eleven o'clock A.M.